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Keypoints:
- Previous studies on the epidemiology of PD were mostly based on primary data collections, restricted to regions and limited by small sample sizes.
- Studies based on secondary data, focussing on the elderly population and factoring dementia and depression are scarce.
- We conducted a study on the prevalence of PD with dementia and depression using health claims data.
- The rates of PD with and without dementia or depression are in line with previous works. Further strengths of the selected approach are the coverage of an European country and the minimizations of biases that might occur in primary data studies.
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Summary

Objectives: While the epidemiology of Parkinson’s Disease (PD) has been extensively studied, data on the prevalence of PD among the elderly in Germany are scarce, based on small samples and limited to primary data designs. This study estimated the PD prevalence among the elderly in Germany in 2006, using secondary data.

Methods: We included 815,573 health insurance members aged >65 years from all regions in Germany. PD was identified in case of at least one inpatient or outpatient diagnosis. An outpatient diagnosis had to be confirmed either by a subsequent diagnosis or an antiparkinsonian drug within 12 months. PD was also assumed if a first prescription was confirmed by a diagnosis within 12 months. Cases were checked for a diagnosis of dementia or depression.

Results: The standardized prevalence of PD was 1,680 (95% confidence interval (CI), 1,644–1,716) cases per 100,000 persons. The prevalence increased with age and peaked in the age group of >=90 years (4,633 cases; 95% CI, 4,227–5,068) with higher rates in men (1,729; 95%-CI, 1,684-1,776) than in women (1,644; 95%-CI, 1,593-1,697). Dementia and depression occurred in 26.6% (95% CI:25.8-27.5) and 32.6 (95% CI: 31.7-33.5) of PD cases, respectively.

Conclusions: The age-related increase of PD prevalence and the age-specific prevalence estimates are in line with other European studies, stressing the public health relevance related to PD. In addition to the minimization of biases that might occur in primary data studies, further strengths of our findings are the large underlying sample size, and the coverage of Germany.
Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disease and is clearly associated with age with a reported prevalence of 41/100,000 in 40- to 49-year-olds and 1,903/100,000 in persons over 80 (Pringsheim et al., 2014). In Europe, the prevalence was estimated at 1,800/100,000 in persons over 65 years of age (de Lau et al., 2004; de Rijk et al., 1997). So far, only few studies have estimated the prevalence of PD in Germany. Mostly conducted in the early 1990s, they were localized studies with smaller sample sizes, thwarting the provision of solid prevalence estimates stratified by age and sex (Evers and Opladen 1994; Kleinheinz et al., 1990; Riedel et al., 2013; Trenkwalder et al., 1995; Vieregge et al., 1991). The occurrence of PD – especially with dementia and depression – has been reported to increase care-dependency (Ehret et al., 2009; Riedel et al., 2012), treatment costs (Bach et al., 2012) as well as the burden of caregivers (Shin et al., 2012). Considering the ageing societies, these problems can be expected to substantially aggravate in the future, making a more solid data base on the epidemiology necessary. We therefore estimated the prevalence of PD and associated dementia and depression among the elderly based on data from three German statutory health insurance providers (SHIs).

Methods

Data sources
The study was based on the German Pharmacoepidemiological Research Database (GePaRD), which comprises claims data from up to four statutory health insurances (SHIs), covering more than 17 million insurants throughout Germany of every age (Mikolajczyk et al., 2015). For the present analyses, data from three SHIs were considered, comprising information on more than 8 million insurants. Briefly, GePaRD contains individual data including sociodemographics, hospitalizations, diagnoses and procedures in hospital, outpatient care data, and outpatient drug prescriptions. All diagnoses are coded according to the German Modification of the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10-GM). At the time of the study, data was available for the period from January 2004 to December 2007.
Study population
Insurants aged 65 years or older were included if they had a continuous, active minimum insurance period of 365 days beginning in the year 2006, or if they died during the observation period.

Case identification
Cases of PD were ascertained by the ICD-10-GM code G20 and the Advanced Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code N04 for antiparkinsonian drugs. Parkinson’s disease was identified in case of at least one inpatient or outpatient diagnosis of PD in 2006. To increase certainty of the identification algorithm, outpatient diagnoses had to be confirmed by a subsequent diagnosis of PD or by a prescription of an antiparkinsonian drug within 12 months. Parkinson’s disease was also assumed if a first prescription in 2006 was confirmed by a PD diagnosis within 12 months. Cases with secondary parkinsonism (G21) were excluded. Case identification was based on outpatient diagnoses of type "certain" and inpatient main discharge and secondary diagnoses only. Inpatient admission diagnoses as well as outpatient diagnoses of type "suspected", "status post" and "condition excluded" were excluded. Insurants who died during the observation period were included (2.4% of all), regardless whether they met the inclusion criterion of a minimum insurance period of 365 days. The date of first ascertainment of PD in 2006 (inpatient diagnosis, outpatient diagnosis or prescription) was designated as the index date. Additionally, for each case the presence of a diagnosis of depression (F32.-, F33.-) or dementia (F00.-, F02.3, F03, G30.-) was checked within the 365 days of follow up after the case index date.

Study period
PD prevalence was calculated for the year 2006. Due to the case identification algorithm data until December 2007 were considered.

Statistical analysis
The crude prevalence was calculated by dividing all PD cases with an index date in 2006 by the study population in 2006. The denominator was defined as the mean number of insured persons on January 1 and on December 31, 2006. 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using the method of Newcombe and Altman (2001). Age- and sex-specific prevalences were calculated in 5-year age bands and
standardized to the German population in 2006 based on data from the German Federal Statistical Office. 95% CIs for standardized prevalences were calculated following the method by Fay and Feuer (1997). Frequencies of dementia and depression were compared between subgroups with the Chi²-test. All statistical analyses were conducted with SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

**Ethics**

The use of SHI data for scientific research in Germany is regulated by the Code of Social Law. All involved SHIs and the responsible regulating authorities approved the use of the data for this study. Informed consent was not required by law, since the study was based on pseudonymous data.

**Results**

We included 815,573 insurants aged >65 years with a mean age of 71.8 (median 70, standard deviation (SD) 6.2) years. Hereof, 10,596 (1.3%) PD cases were identified, resulting in a crude PD prevalence of 1,330/100,000 (95% CI: 1,310-1,360). The mean age of PD cases was 76.3 (median 76, SD 7.2) years. The age- and sex-stratified prevalence of PD is shown in Table 1.

The prevalence increased with age and peaked in the group of ≥90 years with 4,633 (95%-CI: 4,227–5,068) cases per 100,000 persons. In each age group, the prevalence of PD was higher in males than in females (overall: 58% in males). Based on the German population in 2006, we estimated an age- and sex-standardized prevalence of 1,680 (95%-CI: 1,644–1,716) PD cases per 100,000 persons in people aged >65 years. The total proportions of dementia and depression in PD cases were 27.8% (95% CI: 26.9-28.6) and 32.6 (95% CI: 31.7-33.5), respectively. While the rates of dementia increased with age, the rates of depression ranged between 26.2% and 33.7% across all age groups considered. Females were afflicted more frequently with dementia (29.6%, 95% CI: 28.2-30.9 vs. 24.5%, 95% CI: 23.5-25.6, p<.0001) and depression (42.5%, 95% CI: 41.1-44.0 vs. 25.6%, 95% CI: 24.6-26.7, p<.0001; data not shown).
Discussion

We investigated the prevalence of PD in a population-based sample of patients aged 65 years and older, using data from three large German SHIs. Our estimated prevalence of 1,330/100,000 concurs with prevalence estimates from France, the Netherlands, and Spain (de Lau and Breteler, 2006; de Rijk et al., 1997; von Campenhausen et al., 2005). It also dovetails with the pooled prevalence of 1,800/100,000 calculated in 7 European studies (de Rijk et al., 2000). A review of 39 European studies reported considerably lower estimates (von Campenhausen et al., 2005), however, these were usually not restricted to older persons. Aside from different age distributions in the study populations, the variation in prevalence may also arise from different methods, e.g., case finding strategies or diagnostic criteria (de Lau and Breteler, 2006; von Campenhausen et al., 2005).

We are aware of five studies that have determined the prevalence of PD in Germany. Four of them, conducted in the 1990s, ascertained PD cases only in defined regions of Germany. They were insufficiently sized to stratify prevalence by age and sex and varied considerably in the reported prevalences: For rural Bavaria, Trenkwalder et al. (1995) reported 713 cases per 100,000 inhabitants over 65 years, based on 982 participants. For the northern Ruhr area, an estimated prevalence of 12,000/100,000 was based on data from 328 home residents (Evers and Opladen, 1994). Two publications estimated a PD prevalence of 183/100,000 in Northern Germany, based on consultation rates at physicians’ offices (Kleinhennz et al., 1990; Vieregge et al., 1991). Recently, an overall PD prevalence of 166 PD cases/100,000 persons was reported for the East German capital of Saxony, Dresden, yet without stratifications by sex and age (Riedel et al., 2013).

Our study showed an increasing prevalence of PD with age resembling findings from other studies, but there are also other studies that found a decline of PD prevalence in the highest age groups (de Lau and Breteler, 2006). This corresponds to a previously discussed decline of PD incidence in the highest age groups most likely due to increased diagnostic uncertainty due to comorbidities, diagnostic nihilism, and selective loss to follow-up. Further, the low number of persons of very advanced age destabilizes estimates. We found a slightly higher prevalence of PD in men than in women also supported by other studies (Benito-Leon et al., 2003; Claveria et al.,...
2002; Errea et al., 1999; Fall et al., 1996; van de Vijver et al., 2001), while in some studies sex differences were not significant (de Rijk et al., 1997; de Rijk et al., 1995; de Rijk et al., 2000). Neuroprotective effects of estrogens have been considered as a possible explanation, but their role is still uncertain (Benedetti et al., 2001; de Lau and Breteler, 2006).

The rates of dementia and depression in our study population were also in line with estimates from previous systematic reviews (Aarsland et al., 2005; Reijnders et al., 2008). Moreover, these figures also concur with prevalence estimates that have been obtained from a large PD outpatient sample based on a primary data collection (Riedel et al., 2010). This indicates the feasibility of our approach, which was based on secondary (claims) data instead of primary data. Further strengths of our study include its large sample size and the coverage of all regions of Germany. Diagnostic information on hospital or outpatient care as well as on drug dispensations is complete in the database and consistent in quality for all study participants. Selection bias due to non-response can be excluded and subgroups which are difficult to reach in surveys (e.g., persons of very advanced age or institutionalized persons) could be analyzed. As information on prior disease or drug intake did not depend on memory, recall bias can be ruled out.

Since our study was based on claims data not collected for research purposes the validity of the diagnostic information has to be considered. In Germany, diagnostic coding in hospitals follows hospital coding guidelines and coded diagnoses are regularly checked by the Medical Service of the Central Association of Health Insurance Funds (Medizinischer Dienst der Krankenkassen, MDK). Therefore, high validity is assumed. To take into account the potentially lower validity of outpatient diagnoses, a second outpatient diagnosis of PD or a prescription of an antiparkinsonian drug was required. As repeated physician consultations can be expected, an underestimation of the prevalence is unlikely. However, underestimation could have occurred due to patients who did not seek help from the medical sector. A substantial proportion of patients have been reported to be first diagnosed with PD in door-to-door prevalence surveys (de Lau and Breteler, 2006). Since Germany has universal health insurance coverage and existing studies are mostly from the 1990s, the undiagnosed proportion in Germany is unknown. Comparing our prevalence estimates with other countries
must be regarded cautiously, since there is no age and sex standardization for a European population for all these estimates. Hence, differences in the age and sex distributions of the populations studied cannot be eliminated. However, the age-specific PD estimates show rather good agreement with other European studies. Yet it should be kept in mind that an estimated proportion of 10% of patients are diagnosed before the age of 50. It is still under debate whether young-onset PD might differ from PD typically occurring beyond the age of 60 regarding etiological and pathophysiological aspects (Dogu et al., 2004). Moreover, as the major public health impact of PD is related to the elderly, we deliberately restricted the analyses to the age range as presented in this study, acknowledging that our results should not be interpreted for younger populations.

Two further potential limitations should be factored when interpreting our results. First, considering the age of the population under study, subjects in higher age groups have a higher probability to die during the observation period, and by implication a lower probability to meet the case definition criteria as described in the method section. For example, subjects who decease between the first outpatient diagnosis and the second confirming diagnosis or the subsequent prescription of antiparkinsonian medication would be excluded from the study, leading to a potential underestimation of the PD prevalence. However, the low proportion of deceased insurants in our study sample (2.4% total) might have only slightly influenced the prevalence estimates.

The second limitation lies in the robustness of the applied case definition which has to be evaluated differentiated, depending on whether the patient was demented or not. The definition of PD by either two corresponding diagnoses or the combination of a PD diagnosis and the prescription of antiparkinsonian medication can be regarded reliable, since antiparkinsonian drugs are barely used outside the context of PD. However, for PD cases with dementia international consensus guidelines require that the cognitive impairment develops 12 months earliest after the onset of PD (McKeith et al., 1996). If the dementia occurs within one year after the onset PD, the diagnosis of Dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB, ICD code G31.82) must be made instead. As we have only analyzed prevalent cases, we cannot exclude that some of our identified PD cases with dementia might have been erroneously diagnosed with PD instead of DLB. However, given the substantially lower incidence rates of DLB of 0.1%
per year (Zaccai et al., 2005), as well as the well established guidelines for the diagnosis of DLB, this proportion can be regarded vanishingly low.
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## Tables

### Table 1. Prevalence of Parkinson’s disease (PD) and associated dementia/depression among the elderly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group, years</th>
<th>PD Prevalence, n/100,000 (95% CI)</th>
<th>Proportion of patients with comorbidity, % (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-69</td>
<td>677 (643-713)</td>
<td>506 (471-542)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-74</td>
<td>1,286 (1,223-1,351)</td>
<td>959 (894-1,026)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-79</td>
<td>2,368 (2,252-2,489)</td>
<td>1,729 (1,620-1,845)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-84</td>
<td>3,784 (3,559-4,020)</td>
<td>2,760 (2,586-2,943)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85-89</td>
<td>4,866 (4,418-5,346)</td>
<td>3,806 (3,499-4,133)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;90</td>
<td>5,057 (4,254-5,967)</td>
<td>4,478 (4,013-4,982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (&gt;65 years)</td>
<td>1,401 (1,366-1,436)</td>
<td>1,242 (1,206-1,279)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age-/sex-standardized (&gt;65 years)</td>
<td>1,729 (1,684-1,776)</td>
<td>1,644 (1,593-1,692)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹considered ICD-10-Codes F00.-, F02.3, F03 and G30.-
²considered ICD-10-Codes F32.-, F33.-