INTRODUCTION

In the innate immune system, Toll-like receptors (TLRs) serve as the major pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) by binding with a variety of microbial components termed pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) (Takeda et al., 2003). During microbial infections, two cell surface TLRs, TLR2 and TLR4, are known to serve as the initial host defense mechanisms, and play a central role in initiating inflammatory responses through activation of transcription factor NF-κB-dependent signaling pathways (Akira &
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Takeda, 2004). TLR2 functions as a heterodimer, with either TLR1 or TLR6, to recognize the N-terminal portion of bacterial lipoproteins (Ozinsky et al., 2000). The TLR2/TLR1 heterodimer recognizes common bacterial triacylated-type lipoproteins (Takeuchi et al., 2002), whereas the TLR2/TLR6 heterodimer recognizes mycoplasmal dialyced-type lipoproteins (Takeuchi et al., 2001). TLR2 functions with the accessory molecule MD-2 and recognizes lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a well-known cell wall component of gram-negative bacteria, also called endotoxin (Shimazu et al., 1999). Along with their beneficial roles in host defense through recognition of PAMPs, these receptors also recognize endogenous ligands, termed danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). TLR2 and TLR4 have a wide range of putative endogenous ligands, including heat shock proteins, digested products of extracellular matrix, heparan sulfate, hyaluronic acid, and HMGB1 protein (van Zoonen et al., 2009). Many studies have suggested that their recognition of DAMPs causes excessive inflammatory responses and a perpetuated inflammatory loop, leading to their implication in a range of inflammatory and autoimmune diseases (Fischer & Ehlers, 2008). Therefore, effective therapeutic targeting of TLR2 and TLR4 can interrupt the inflammatory loop and ameliorate inflammatory diseases.

Botanical compounds, especially those derived from fruits, vegetables, and herbs, are known to have a wide range of beneficial effects on human health including antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and anticancer effects (Santangelo et al., 2007). Naringenin (4′,5,7-trihydroxyflavanone) is a flavorless and colorless flavanone, a type of citrus flavonoid, which is abundantly found in grapefruit, and is also detectable in a variety of fruits and herbs (Felgenes et al., 2000). Naringenin is a potential therapeutic agent for Alzheimer’s disease and has been shown to improve memory and reduce pathological accumulation of amyloid and tau proteins for Alzheimer’s disease and has been shown to improve memory (Felgines et al., 2000). Naringenin is a potential therapeutic agent against TLR2-associated inflammatory diseases.

A human acute monocytic leukemia cell line, THP-1 (RCB1189), was obtained from the Cell Engineering Division of RIKEN BioResource Center (Tsukuba, Japan). THP-1 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum. Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells were obtained from the Cell Culture Division of RIKEN BioResource Center (CRL-1573) and maintained in high glucose DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum. Both cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2.

2.2 | Cell culture

A human acute monocytic leukemia cell line, THP-1 (RCB1189), was obtained from the Cell Engineering Division of RIKEN BioResource Center (Tsukuba, Japan). THP-1 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum. Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (CRL-1573) and maintained in high glucose DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum. Both cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2.

2.3 | Bacterial culture and extraction of a crude lipophilic fraction

Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6,738 was cultivated on mannitol salt agar plates (Eiken Kagaku, Tokyo, Japan) for 3 days and then grown overnight in BBLTM brain heart infusion broth (Becton Dickinson). Bacterial cells in the logarithmic growth phase were harvested by centrifugation, washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and resuspended in PBS at an optical density (at 600 nm) of 0.5, which corresponds to approximately 4.0 × 10^9 colony forming units (CFU)/ml.

Staphylococcus aureus cells were suspended in 10 mM Tris- HCl buffer (pH 7.4) containing 150 mM sodium chloride, followed by destruction of the cell wall using sonication. The suspension was mixed with 1/10 volume of a 20% aqueous Triton X-114 (TX; Sigma-Aldrich) working stock solution to extract a TX-soluble fraction.
crude lipophilic fraction that mainly includes cell wall lipoproteins, as described previously (Hashimoto et al., 2006). The mixture was rotated at 4°C for 2 hr, followed by removal of cell debris using centrifugation. The supernatant was incubated at 37°C and centrifuged to separate the upper aqueous phase. An excess volume of methanol was added to the lower lipophilic phase to precipitate the lipophilic fraction at −80°C overnight. Subsequently, the supernatant was discarded by centrifugation at 15,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C. The precipitated lipophilic fraction was suspended in PBS (hereafter referred to as Sa-TX). The protein concentration of Sa-TX was measured using a BCA assay kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories). To investigate whether Sa-TX contains cell-stimulatory lipoproteins, Sa-TX was treated with 98,100 units/ml of lipoprotein lipase.

2.4 | Luciferase reporter gene assay

The expression vectors encoding human TLR1, TLR2, and TLR6 (pEF6-TLR1, pEF6-TLR2, and pEF6-TLR6, respectively) have been described previously (Into et al., 2007; Kataoka et al., 2014). HEK293 cells were plated at a density of 1.0 × 10⁵ cells/well in 24-well plates 1 day before transfection. The cells were transiently transfected using Metafectene® Transfection Reagent (Biontex Laboratories) with 30 ng/well of NF-κB reporter plasmid (pNF-κB-Luc, Stratagene) and 3.5 ng/well of a construct directing the expression of Renilla luciferase under the control of a constitutively active thymidine kinase promoter (pRL-TK, Promega), 150 ng/well of pEF6-TLR2 together with 150 ng/well of pEF6-TLR1 or pEF6-TLR6. After a 24-hr incubation, various concentrations of naringenin (0, 10, 20, 40 μg/ml) were added to the transfected cells together with Pam3CSK4 (10 μg/ml), FSL-1 (10 nM), Sa-TX (10 μg/ml), or S. aureus cells (4.0 × 10⁷ CFU/ml), and then incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 6 hr. Subsequently, the cells were harvested and luciferase activity was measured using the Dual-Luciferase® Reporter Assay System (Promega), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The relative NF-κB activity was calculated by normalizing the NF-κB reporter luciferase activity to the Renilla luciferase activity (as internal controls).

2.5 | Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

THP-1 cells were plated on 24-well plates at a density of 4.0 × 10⁴ cells/well. Subsequently, various concentrations of naringenin (0, 10, 20, or 40 μg/ml) were added to the cells together with a stimulatory compound, Pam3CSK4 (10 μg/ml), FSL-1 (10 nM), Sa-TX (10 μg/ml), or S. aureus cells (4.0 × 10⁷ CFU/ml), and incubated for 6 hr. After stimulation, the culture supernatants were collected and the amounts of interleukin (IL)-8 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α were determined using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits for human IL-8 and TNF-α (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.6 | Confocal laser-scanning microscopy

THP-1 cells were prepared on sterilized coverslips placed in the wells of 6-well plates at a density of 5.0 × 10⁵ cells/well and incubated in the presence of 20 nM PMA for 24 hr for differentiation into macrophage-like adherent cells. These cells were washed three times with serum-free RPMI-1640, followed by treatment with S. aureus cells suspended in PBS (2.0 × 10⁶ CFU/ml) or PBS (as control), together with naringenin solution (40 μg/ml) or an equivalent volume of ethanol (as control) for 6 hr. Cells were then washed once, treated with Alexa Fluor™ 594-conjugated cholera toxin B subunit (a marker of lipid rafts specific for ganglioside GM1; Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 min, and subsequently fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min at room temperature. After permeabilization with methanol at −20°C for 5 min, the cells were incubated with TL2.1 for 1 hr, followed by incubation with an FITC-conjugated anti-mouse IgG Ab for another 1 hr. The cells were then washed three times with PBS, and images of ganglioside GM1 and TLR2 were obtained using an inverted laser-scanning microscope A1 (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

2.7 | Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). Representative results from more than three separate experiments are shown. Statistical differences were assessed using Student’s t test. Multiple groups were compared using a two-tailed one-way analysis of variance with Dunnett’s post hoc test. p values < .05 were considered statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Effect of naringenin on inflammatory responses induced through recognition of a synthetic bacterial triacylated lipopeptide, Pam3CSK4, by TLR2/TLR1

We first examined the effect of naringenin on the inflammatory responses of human monocytic THP-1 cells stimulated by a synthetic bacterial triacylated lipopeptide, Pam3CSK4, which is known to act as a specific ligand for the TLR2/TLR1 heterodimer (Gautam et al., 2006; Jin et al., 2007; Ozinsky et al., 2000). As shown in Figure 1a,b, Pam3CSK4 stimulated the cells to produce IL-8 and TNF-α. Naringenin significantly suppressed these Pam3CSK4-induced responses in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1a,b).

We further examined whether naringenin actually interferes with TLR2/TLR1-mediated responses using a HEK293 cell line that serves as a nonresponder to most ligands for TLRs, including TLR2, unless appropriately transfected with TLR-encoding genes (Quevedo-Diaz et al., 2010). Cellular responses were monitored using an NF-κB-driven luciferase reporter. Consistent with
previous results (Fujita et al., 2003), HEK293 nontransfectants did not show any response to Pam3CSK4 (data not shown), whereas TLR2/TLR1 transfectants responded strongly to the stimulus (Figure 1c). TLR2/TLR6 transfectants displayed a weak response to Pam3CSK4 (Figure 1c), but this response may be mediated through recognition by a TLR2 homodimer and not by a TLR2/TLR6 heterodimer (Fujita et al., 2003). In both cases, naringenin significantly suppressed Pam 3CSK4-induced responses in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1c). These results indicate that naringenin can downregulate inflammatory responses induced through the recognition of Pam3CSK4 by TLR2/TLR1.

3.2 | Effect of naringenin on inflammatory responses induced through recognition of a synthetic mycoplasmal diacylated lipopeptide, FSL-1, by TLR2/TLR6

We next examined the effect of naringenin on the responses of THP-1 cells stimulated by a synthetic mycoplasmal diacylated lipopeptide, FSL-1, which is known to act as a specific ligand for the TLR2/TLR6 heterodimer (Fujita et al., 2003). Similar to Pam3CSK4, FSL-1 stimulated the cells to produce IL-8 and TNF-α. Naringenin significantly suppressed these FSL-1-induced
responses in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2a,b). Furthermore, FSL-1 did not stimulate any response in the HEK293 nontransfectants (data not shown), whereas TLR2/TLR6 transfectants strongly responded to the stimulus (Figure 2c). TLR2/TLR1 transfectants displayed a weak response to FSL-1 (Figure 2c), but this response may be mediated through recognition by a TLR2 homodimer similar to Pam3CSK4 (Nakata et al., 2006). Naringenin significantly suppressed FSL-1-induced responses in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2c). These results indicate that naringenin can downregulate inflammatory responses induced through the recognition of FSL-1 by TLR2/TLR6.

3.3 | Effect of naringenin on inflammatory responses induced through recognition of a S. aureus-derived crude lipophilic compound by TLR2

In addition to synthetic lipopeptides, previous reports have demonstrated that TLR2 can recognize various types of bacterial compounds including lipoproteins, lipoteichoic acids, and peptidoglycans (Oliveira-Nascimento et al., 2012). Therefore, we prepared a crude lipophilic extract from S. aureus, the cell walls of which contain TLR2-stimulatory components in abundance (Kang et al., 2015), and designated this preparation as Sa-TX. As shown in Figure 3a,b, Sa-TX stimulated THP-1 cells to produce IL-8 and TNF-α. Treatment of
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Sa-TX with lipoprotein lipase almost completely attenuated these stimulatory activities (Figure 3a,b), indicating that lipoproteins, and not any other constituents, are responsible for the stimulatory activity of Sa-TX. *S. aureus* -derived lipoproteins are reported to be recognized by both TLR2/TLR1 and TLR2/TLR6 (Nguyen et al., 2017).

We examined the effect of naringenin on the responses of cells stimulated with Sa-TX. In THP-1 cells, naringenin significantly suppressed Sa-TX-induced cytokine production in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3c,d). In HEK293 transfectants, Sa-TX did not stimulate the nontransfectants (data not shown), but strongly stimulated...
TLR2/TLR1 transfectants (Figure 3c). Sa-TX also stimulated TLR2/TLR6 transfectants, in a manner similar to Pam₃CSK₄, but the response was considerably weak (Figure 3c). Naringenin significantly suppressed these Sa-TX-induced responses in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3c). These results indicate that naringenin can downregulate inflammatory responses induced through the recognition of crude bacterial compounds by TLR2 complexes.

3.4 | Naringenin suppresses TLR2-mediated inflammatory responses through inhibition of receptor clustering on lipid rafts

The results described above suggest that naringenin suppresses TLR2-mediated responses, but its effect does not seem to be specifically exerted on the recognition of distinctive ligands by TLR2. In fact, we found that THP-1 cells responded to live S. aureus cells, which are known to stimulate TLR2-mediated responses more preferentially than those induced by other innate immune receptors (Musilova et al., 2019), to produce IL-8 and TNF-α, and that naringenin could significantly suppress these responses (Figure 4a,b). Thus, naringenin may inhibit the process of TLR2 clustering on the cell membrane and its subsequent signal transduction, but not the interaction between TLR2 and its cognate ligands.

During the ligand recognition process, cell surface TLR2 is mobilized onto apical lipid rafts, resulting in its accumulation to form lipid raft receptor complexes that can activate signaling (Helling et al., 2018). Therefore, we examined the possibility that naringenin interferes with TLR2 clustering on lipid rafts at the cell surface. We visualized ganglioside GM1, a common marker of lipid rafts, and TLR2 in THP-1 cells stimulated with S. aureus using laser-scanning confocal microscopy. As shown in the nonstimulated control cells in Figure 5, TLR2 localized sparsely on the cell membrane and did not colocalize with lipid rafts. However, in cells stimulated with S. aureus, TLR2 was clearly mobilized into and colocalized with lipid rafts (Figure 5). On the other hand, naringenin treatment interfered with TLR2 mobilization and its colocalization with lipid rafts (Figure 5). These results indicate that naringenin inhibits TLR2-mediated inflammatory responses by interfering with the mobilization and clustering of TLR2 on lipid rafts.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrated that naringenin possesses a novel anti-inflammatory property that can be elicited against TLR2 ligand-stimulated cells. It mediates its effects by inhibiting TLR2 clustering in lipid rafts on the cell membrane. Our results indicate that the anti-inflammatory activity of naringenin is effective in ligand recognition by both TLR2/TLR1 and TLR2/TLR6. As TLR2 causes excessive sterile inflammation or exacerbates inflammation through the recognition of DAMPs, naringenin can be an effective therapeutic agent for suppressing such harmful responses.

Naringenin has previously been reported to have anti-inflammatory effects such as reduction of proinflammatory cytokine production during inflammatory states (Yilma et al., 2013). Several recent studies have investigated the intracellular mechanism of action of naringenin involved in eliciting anti-inflammatory activities. Jin et al. reported that naringenin does not affect the synthesis of the proinflammatory cytokine TNF-α per se, but inhibits the Golgi-to-cell surface delivery of the cytokine (Jin et al., 2017). Additionally, Liu et al. found that naringenin inhibits TLR4-mediated NF-κB activation through adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK)-dependent upregulation of activating transcription factor 3 (Liu et al., 2016). A more recent report indicates that naringenin activates AMPK-dependent promotion of autophagy (Ahsan et al., 2020), which is known to suppress inflammatory responses (Zhang et al., 2020). These observations suggest that naringenin affects intracellular protein transport systems or signal transduction. However, it remains unclear whether naringenin modulates cell surface receptor function to elicit anti-inflammatory activity despite recent reports that show a naringenin-mediated reduction in

**FIGURE 4** Effect of naringenin on the inflammatory cytokine production in THP-1 cells stimulated with S. aureus cells. THP-1 cells were stimulated with S. aureus cells in the presence or absence of naringenin (0, 10, 20, or 40 μg/ml) for 6 hr. The levels of IL-8 (a) and TNF-α (b) in the culture supernatants were measured using ELISA. Values expressed as mean ± SD of triplicate wells are representative of three separate experiments. *p < .05 versus the culture stimulated with S. aureus cells in the absence of naringenin.
Naringenin is reported to interact with the cell membrane and to decrease membrane fluidity in the hydrophobic region of the lipid bilayer (Arora et al., 2000). Such decreased membrane fluidity may inhibit the accumulation of TLR2 and its associated molecules in lipid rafts. Moreover because previous reports indicate that the functioning of lipid rafts can be suppressed by antioxidants such as vitamin E (DiPasquale et al., 2020), the antioxidative property of naringenin may be involved in this effect and should be investigated further in future studies.

In summary, the findings of the present study suggest a novel property of naringenin in the suppression of inflammatory responses in TLR2 ligand-stimulated cells through inhibition of TLR2 clustering in lipid rafts. Such an effect can be elicited against TLR2 heterodimers formed with TLR1 and TLR6. Thus, naringenin may serve as a useful new therapeutic agent against excessive inflammation caused by severe microbial infections, inflammatory diseases, and autoimmune diseases.
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FIGURE 5 Effect of naringenin on TLR2 recruitment into lipid rafts after stimulation with S. aureus cells. THP-1 cells were stimulated with S. aureus in the presence or absence of naringenin (40 μg/ml) for 6 hr. Cells were double-stained for ganglioside GM1 (red) and TLR2 (green). Fluorescence images were obtained using a confocal laser-scanning microscope. Overlay images are shown in the right panels. Data are representative of three independent experiments with similar results. (Scale bars, 10 μm.)