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Today, an unprecedented understanding of the cancer genome, along with major breakthroughs in oncoimmunotherapy, and a resurgence of nucleic acid vaccines against cancer are being achieved. However, in most cases, the immune system response is still insufficient to react against cancer, especially in those tumors showing low mutational burden. One way to counteract tumor escape can be the induction of bacterial translocation, a phenomenon associated with autoimmune diseases which consists of a leakage in the colonic mucosa barrier, causing the access of gut bacteria to sterile body compartments such as blood. Certain commensal or live-attenuated bacteria can be engineered in such a way as to contain nucleic acids coding for tumor neoantigens previously selected from individual tumor RNAseq data. Hypothetically, these modified bacteria, previously administered orally to a cancer patient, can be translocated by several compounds acting on colonic mucosa, thus releasing neoantigens in a systemic environment in the context of an acute inflammation. Several strategies for selecting neoantigens, suitable bacteria strains, genetic constructs, and translocation inducers to achieve tumor-specific activations of CD4 and CD8 T-cells are discussed in this hypothesis.

1. Perspective

Now that nucleic acid vaccines (NAVs) against SARS-nCoV-2 have become the major breakthrough in biomedicine of the XXI century, many researchers and healthcare professionals are wondering whether this knowledge and expertise could be focused on the development of therapeutic vaccines against cancer. Moreover, personalized antitumoral NAVs seem now more feasible than ever, as anti-COVID NAVs were developed and manufactured in less than one year, which is a reasonable time for the tailored treatment for a given cancer patient. This approach is backed up by recent advances in highly efficacious delivery material for nucleic acids. In this context, one may think that those cancers exhibiting high tumor mutation burden (TMB), such as melanoma and lung cancer, are more eligible for such development. However, even when a tumor expresses a considerable number of neoantigens, these, per se, probably do not make the difference needed to boost an efficient immune response against tumor cells. One successful way to overcome tumor immune evasion has been the use of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), which increases the level of recognition of neoantigens by the immune system, thus inhibiting anergic signals, such as those driven by PD-1 and CTLA-4. Still, the number of patients who could benefit from ICI is limited by the relatively low TMB exhibited by most cancers. Therefore, both NAVs and ICIs encounter the same limitation. If we cannot induce a higher number of tumor neoantigens in a given cancer for obvious reasons, there must be ways to decrease the threshold of immune awareness, thus reaching a similar outcome. One possible answer comes from autoimmune diseases, in which patients show such a low immune threshold that even self-antigens are attacked by the immune system. Interestingly, CTLA-4 protein (whose increased activation in certain cancers is repressed by the ICI, ipilimumab) does in fact have decreased activity in certain autoimmune diseases, including inflammatory bowel disease, thus suggesting that an autoimmune-like state could favor the immune response against cancer. Autoimmune diseases and cancer-associated immune evasion are two sides of the same coin, reflecting the delicate equilibrium between self-immune reaction and anergy to tumor neoantigens. Two potential questions arise: Could it be possible to induce an autoimmunelike state in a cancer patient previously inoculated with personalized NAV coding immunogenic neoantigens? Second, could the trigger of this state in fact be the vehicle for NAVs? Evidently, this autoimmune-like state must be transient, as it must follow the antitumoral
effect of acute inflammation and not the protumoral chronic inflammation associated with cancer development. One acute state linked to autoimmune disease that could be mimicked in patients is bacterial translocation, which typically occurs after a local inflammatory reaction in the bowel, thus producing a leakage in the gut mucosa barrier and the access of colonic bacteria to sterile body sites such as blood.[13] This phenomenon is highly associated with outbreaks or flares in the context of autoimmune diseases such as psoriasis, inflammatory bowel disease or systemic rheumatoid lupus, thus playing causative roles in the immune-related symptoms of these diseases. Conditions favoring gut barrier loss and bacterial translocation are certain drugs such as antibiotics, chemotherapy agents and irritant substances such as dextran-sodium sulfate (DSS), which have demonstrated induction of bacterial translocation in non-autoimmune animal models, including nonhuman primates.[14–17] One of these compounds could be administered to a cancer patient once the transformed bacteria, containing DNA coding from tumor-specific neoantigens, had found a niche in the colon mucosa. The increased epithelial barrier permeability generated this way would trigger a systemic exposure of bacteria expressing tumor neoantigens to the immune system. Alternatively, engineered bacterial plasmids could be transferred in vivo to host cells (i.e., antigen presenting cells (APCs)) for neoantigen production.[18] In any case, this same immune response, exerted by induced therapeutic bacterial translocation (ITBT), would attack tumor cells, creating a peritumoral and intratumoral lymphocytic infiltrate milieu generally associated with better prognosis and survival. Concerning the alteration of the colon mucosa barrier, ITBT can be regulated to cause transient, moldable with many substances used in gastroenterology clinical practice, such as steroids, aminosalicylates, biologics (i.e., anti-TNF-α), probiotics, and mucosal protectors, allowing this process to be controllable and reversible.[19]

From a practical perspective the design and development of ITBT is a complex process comprising several steps (Figure 1). The first step in selecting candidate neoantigens would be the isolation and massive sequencing of nucleic acids from patient tumor tissue. It could be argued that RNAseq would be preferred to whole genome/exome sequencing (WGS/WES) for APCs. Even the use of DSS in HIV-infected individuals was found, in two independent studies, to be well tolerated with minor gastrointestinal side effects.[13,14] Therefore, intestinal barrier permeability is pharmacologically moldable with many substances used in gastroenterology clinical practice, such as steroids, aminosalicylates, biologics (i.e., anti-TNF-α), probiotics, and mucosal protectors, allowing this process to be controllable and reversible.[15]

One of them, termed bactofection, is the delivery of a plasmid containing polycistronic DNA or tandem minigenes (TMG) coding an average of 10 different neoantigens (33–60 base pairs in length each) would be generated and electroporated in bacteria species that are part of the colon microbiota, which are prone to bacterial translocation and naturally have tropism for APCs.[18] In fact, previous published data suggest that manipulating the microbiota may modulate cancer immunotherapy.[19] The release of the neoantigens in a systemic environment could be triggered by translocated bacteria through different means. One of them, termed bactofection, is the delivery of a plasmid to be transferred to the host cell for production of heterologous antigen, or the production of the heterologous antigen (often followed by secretion) by the bacterial vector itself.[18,20] The other one is by the expression of accessible neoantigens, which can be achieved by various strategies, e.g., the genes coding neoantigens can be constructed to be overexpressed on the surface of the bacteria, or even secreted in extremely high concentrations, to attempt recognition of them by the immune system. In this line,
Figure 1. Schematic representation of the procedure based on the hypothesis. Briefly, tumor RNA sequencing renders a number of neoantigens whose coding sequences can be synthesized and cloned on bacteria plasmids. Human gut commensal or live-attenuated bacteria are transformed with these plasmids and orally administered to the cancer patient. Once bacteria have found a niche on the colonic mucosa, chemical compounds inducing bacterial translocation are administered. This induction will allow bacteria to access blood vessels, lymph nodes and other immune organs, thus eliciting, once neoantigens are presented by antigen-presenting cells, a CD4 and CD8 T-cell response against the neoantigen-expressing tumor cells. Created with BioRender.com
Kang and Curtiss in 2003 demonstrated that in orally immunized mice, the antigen-specific humoral response of an attenuated Salmonella typhimurium carrying PspA derived from the Streptococcus pneumonia surface protein was enhanced 10 000-fold by incorporating the secretion signal from β-lactamase compared with the unfused PspA construct. Heterologous genes can be fused into gene-encoding bacterial outer membrane proteins such as OmpA, LamB, or flagellin.

Good bacteria candidates could be those lactobacilli or enterococci genera, such as Enterococcus gallinarum, a pathobiont which induces auto-immunity and colonizes mesenteric veins and lymph nodes, the liver and the spleen in mice and humans. Moreover, a recent study showed the antitumor properties of an E. gallinarum strain, this bacteria being amongst the most enriched species in the stool of patients responding to ICI therapy. Attenuated bacterial vectors such as S. typhimurium or Listeria monocytogenes are good candidates as well, since there are strains engineered to retain plasmids, express heterologous antigens upon inducible factors and display neoantigens on the surface. In addition, L. monocytogenes can escape phagosomal degradation via its virulence factors, resulting in an intracellular lifecycle that enables neoantigens to be presented both by HLA-I and HLA-II. In addition, these bacteria have shown tropism for tissue conditions associated with cancer growth, such as hypoxic and necrotic microenvironments and “leaky” vessels associated with tumor angiogenesis. Various evidences supports that attenuated L. monocytogenes is an efficient vector at presenting antigens.

Other conditions needed to be taken into account to favor bacterial translocation are the administration of antibiotics (a 2-day course of ceftriaxone enables E. faecalis and Lactobacillus spp. to disseminate to the liver, spleen, and lymph nodes within 3–4 days of exposure, with subsequent clearance after 14 days); TLR7 agonists such as imiquimod (experiments in mice demonstrated the importance of stimulating TLR7 signaling to cause Lactobacillus reuteri translocation); proton pump inhibitors; and chemotherapy agents such as cyclophosphamide or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs such as indomethacin.

Apart from the particular ability of L. monocytogenes to stimulate CD8 T-cells via HLA-I, translocated bacteria expressing different tumor neoantigens of 11–20 amino acids in length each cause different immune responses. For instance, in mice receiving the same treatment, but being administered bacteria with non-neoantigen-coding-plasmid, the antigen-specific humoral response of an attenuated bacteria with non-neoantigen-coding-plasmid.

As the combination of ITBT and antigenicity prediction tools has never been tested, the limits, in terms of how low TMB could be for this strategy to be effective, are still to be determined. In any case, it represents a hypothesis for treating tumors with such a low TMB, which would pass unnoticed to the immune system with conventional therapy.

All microorganisms that could potentially be used in this therapeutic setting must be sensitive to many antibiotics to prevent some undesired side effects of this treatment. This is easy to achieve in the laboratory, both by choosing naturally sensitive microorganisms or by generating sensitivity in vitro. In conclusion, this hypothesis, based on the Trojan horse concept, merits consideration, and should be explored initially in preclinical studies as a possible way to increase the immune awareness against cancer, especially in those tumors with lower TMB and TILs.
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