ORIGINAL ARTICLE



α-Particle-induced DNA damage tracks in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of [²²³Ra]RaCl₂-treated prostate cancer patients

S. Schumann¹ · U. Eberlein¹ · C. Lapa^{1,2} · J. Müller³ · S. Serfling¹ · M. Lassmann¹ · H. Scherthan³

Received: 25 August 2020 / Accepted: 15 December 2020 / Published online: 4 February 2021 ${\rm (}{\rm \bigcirc}$ The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

Purpose One therapy option for prostate cancer patients with bone metastases is the use of [²²³Ra]RaCl₂. The α -emitter ²²³Ra creates DNA damage tracks along α -particle trajectories (α -tracks) in exposed cells that can be revealed by immunofluorescent staining of γ -H2AX+53BP1 DNA double-strand break markers. We investigated the time- and absorbed dose-dependency of the number of α -tracks in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of patients undergoing their first therapy with [²²³Ra]RaCl₂. **Methods** Multiple blood samples from nine prostate cancer patients were collected before and after administration of [²²³Ra]RaCl₂, up to 4 weeks after treatment. γ -H2AX- and 53BP1-positive α -tracks were microscopically quantified in isolated and immuno-stained PBMCs.

Results The absorbed doses to the blood were less than 6 mGy up to 4 h after administration and maximally 16 mGy in total. Up to 4 h after administration, the α -track frequency was significantly increased relative to baseline and correlated with the absorbed dose to the blood in the dose range < 3 mGy. In most of the late samples (24 h - 4 weeks after administration), the α -track frequency remained elevated.

Conclusion The γ -H2AX+53BP1 assay is a potent method for detection of α -particle-induced DNA damages during treatment with or after accidental incorporation of radionuclides even at low absorbed doses. It may serve as a biomarker discriminating α -from β -emitters based on damage geometry.

Keywords DNA damage \cdot Nuclear medicine \cdot Dosimetry $\cdot \alpha$ -Emitter \cdot Biokinetics \cdot Prostate cancer $\cdot [^{223}Ra]RaCl_2 \cdot \gamma$ -H2AX \cdot 53BP1

Introduction

According to the data of the International Agency for Research on Cancer, prostate cancer is the fourth most common cancer world-wide and has been diagnosed in 1.3 million

This article is part of the Topical Collection on Radiation biology	
S. Schumann and U. Eberlein shared first authorship	
M. Lassmann and H. Scherthan shared senior authorship	

S. Schumann Schumann_S1@ukw.de

- ¹ Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany
- ² Nuclear Medicine, Medical Faculty, University of Augsburg, Augsburg, Germany
- ³ Bundeswehr Institute of Radiobiology affiliated to the University of Ulm, Munich, Germany

men in 2018, and accounts for 3.8% of all cancer deaths [1]. The majority of patients dying of prostate cancer display bone metastases and varying symptoms such as pain, pathological fractures, neurological disorders, spinal cord compression, and bone marrow failure, all of which are significantly impairing their quality of life [2, 3].

In 2013, the ALSYMPCA trial disclosed that $[^{223}Ra]RaCl_2$ treatment prolonged lives of castration-resistant prostate cancer patients with widespread bone metastatic disease. This led to marketing authorization for $[^{223}Ra]RaCl_2$ (Xofigo®) as the first α -emitting radiopharmaceutical [4, 5]. For the treatment, 55 kBq of $[^{223}Ra]RaCl_2$ per kilogram bodyweight is administered systemically by intravenous injection in up to six cycles.

As α -particles have a short range of less than 0.1 mm in soft tissue and a high linear energy transfer (LET), they have been found to induce complex chromosome aberrations [6, 7] and DNA damage [8, 9]. Administration of [²²³Ra]RaCl₂ leads to ionizing radiation exposure of the targeted bone metastases as well as the blood and other organs and tissues [10]. Even a few hours and days after [²²³Ra]RaCl₂ administration, there is activity remaining in the blood, leading to prolonged internal irradiation [11]. As hematotoxicity represents one of the known side effects after administration of [²²³Ra]RaCl₂ [12], it is of great interest to characterize the DNA damage elicited by ²²³Ra and its progeny in patients' peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) for obtaining information on potential long-term side effects by persisting DNA damage.

The γ -H2AX+53BP1 focus assay has been proven useful to reliably quantify DNA double-strand break (DSB) damage, especially after low-dose irradiation. In this assay, cells are immunofluorescently stained for y-H2AX and 53BP1 proteins that demarcate chromatin regions containing DSBs so that microscopically colocalizing foci of γ -H2AX and 53BP1 can be quantified and used as DSB biomarkers, e.g., after internal irradiation with β - and γ -emitting radionuclides [13–18]. After irradiation with α -emitting radionuclides, not only distinct foci but also y-H2AX- and 53BP1-containing DNA damage tracks, so-called α -tracks, are detected in hit cells' nuclei and likely reflect the DNA damage along the particle trajectory through the cell [8, 9, 19–21]. In a previous study, we observed that there is a linear relationship between the number of these α -tracks in PBMCs and the absorbed dose to the blood after internal ex vivo irradiation of blood samples with the α -emitter ²²³Ra [8]. A further study with the radium isotope ²²⁴Ra revealed that there is the same DNA damage induction for the two radium isotopes at a comparable absorbed dose to the blood [9]. Hence, it can be concluded that the number of α -tracks is a good measure for biological dosimetry after α -particle exposure. These ex vivo studies show that DNA damage geometry (small foci versus α tracks) in PBMCs may help to reveal radionuclide incorporation in radiation and, e.g. nuclear power plants accidents thus serving as a LET-responsive biomarker. However, so far no in vivo data are available. Therefore, in the present study, we investigated the time- and absorbed dose-dependent in vivo DNA damage in PBMCs of prostate cancer patients during therapy with [²²³Ra]RaCl₂.

Methods

Patients and blood sampling

Nine patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer receiving their first therapy cycle with $[^{223}Ra]RaCl_2$ (Xofigo®; Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany) were included in this prospective study. Patients were included if they had no history of disease of the hematopoietic system and/or did not receive radiation treatment or diagnostics with ionizing radiation up to 5 days before the 1st treatment. Each patient received a nominal activity of 55 kBq $[^{223}Ra]RaCl_2$ per kilogram body weight. Li-heparin blood collecting tubes (S-

Monovette®; Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) were used for the blood collection.

One blood sample of each patient was taken as a baseline sample before [223 Ra]RaCl₂ was administered, in order to assess whether α -tracks were already present before irradiation. After injection, nominal blood sampling time points were 1.5 h, 3 h, 4 h, 24 h, 48 h (or 96 h), and approx. 4 weeks after administration. Due to individual patient management and variable treatment schedules, it was necessary to adjust the blood sampling time points in some cases. For example, the nominal time point at 48 h after administration had to be changed to 96 h after administration when the 48 h time point could not be met.

Decay properties of ²²³Ra

The α -emitter ²²³Ra (half-life: 11.43 days) decays in six steps into the stable product ²⁰⁷Pb. Four of the radionuclides in the decay chain (²¹⁹Rn, ²¹⁵Po, ²¹¹Bi, ²¹¹Po) are also α -emitters and in total, four α -particles are emitted per decay [8]. All radionuclides in the decay chain are short-lived with halflives of maximally 0.6 h (²¹¹Pb). More detailed information on half-lives and energy deposition per transition for ²²³Ra and its progeny is provided in a previously published ex vivo study [8].

Activity quantification

Up to 3 ml of each radioactive blood sample was measured in a calibrated, high-purity germanium detector (Canberra, Rüsselsheim, Germany). Several traceable standards with different radionuclides (e.g., ¹³⁷Cs ¹⁵²Eu, ²²Na, ¹³¹I, ¹⁷⁷Lu, ¹³³Ba) were used to ascertain the energy and efficiency calibration of the germanium detector in the energy range between 80 keV and 2 MeV. The acquisition duration of each measurement was either 12 h or 24 h. As the acquisition duration is not negligible compared to the half-life of ²²³Ra, an additional correction of the decay during the acquisition to the start time of the measurement was performed. For 12 h and 24 h measurement durations, the correction factors are 1.015 and 1.031, respectively. To quantify the activity of ²²³Ra in the blood, the weighted mean of three γ -emission lines were combined: ²²³Ra at 269.5 keV (emission probability of 14.2%), ²¹⁹Rn at 271.2 keV (emission probability of 11.1%), and ²¹¹Bi at 351.0 keV (emission probability of 13.0%). By comparing the count rates of the γ -emission lines it was ascertained that there was equilibrium between ²²³Ra and its progeny. The activity value was decay corrected to the corresponding blood sampling time point.

To describe the activity retention in the blood for each patient, monoexponential or biexponential fit functions were used, depending on the number of blood sampling time points.

Dosimetry

Absorbed doses to the blood $D_{\text{Bl},\alpha}(t)$ as a function of the time after administration were calculated according to the MIRD formalism [22], considering only the contribution of the selfirradiation of the blood. Furthermore, it was assumed that the energy of all α -particles emitted was deposited locally, while the energy contribution of electrons and γ -radiation was not considered. This resulted in:

$$D_{\mathrm{Bl},\alpha}(t) = S_{\alpha,\mathrm{Bl}\leftarrow\mathrm{Bl}} \cdot A_0 \cdot \tau_{\mathrm{Bl}}(t)$$

= 15.5 mGy ml kBq⁻¹ h⁻¹ · A_0 · \tau_{\mathrm{Bl}}(t)

 A_0 is the administered activity in kilobecquerels and $\tau_{BI}(t)$ denotes the time-integrated activity coefficient (TIAC) for the activity concentration per milliliter blood. $\tau_{BI}(t)$ was obtained for each patient individually by integrating the time-activity function over time from the time of injection up to the time point *t* of the respective blood withdrawal. The absorbed dose rate per unit activity $S_{\alpha,BI \leftarrow BI}$ was taken from [8].

For comparing the patients' absorbed doses to the blood with the results of a previously performed model-based dosimetry calculation [10], the underlying biokinetic model characterizing the biodistribution of [²²³Ra]RaCl₂ and its progeny was amended. For this amendment, the number of nuclear transformations was calculated for all α -emitting progeny of [²²³Ra]RaCl₂ for direct uptake of [²²³Ra]RaCl₂ into the blood. For this purpose, independent biokinetics of [²²³Ra]RaCl₂ and its progeny were assumed, taking the different chemical properties of the radionuclides in the decay chain into account, in analogy to the publication by Stephan et al. [23]. The energies of the α -particles per transition were taken from the data published by Schumann et al. [8]. The energy deposition of electrons and γ -radiation was not taken into account due to their low contribution to the total energy imparted (< 5%) [8].

Immunostaining and analysis of DNA damage tracks

For the evaluation of DNA damage, PBMCs were separated from whole blood by density centrifugation (BD Vacutainer CPT tubes; BD, Heidelberg, Germany), washed, and fixed in 70% ethanol, as described previously [15]. The immunofluorescent staining with γ -H2AX and 53BP1 antibodies and the evaluation of DNA damage was performed as described elsewhere [8]. X-ray irradiated (collected 30 min after 0.5 Gy exposure) lymphoblastoid cells were included as a positive control in all the γ -H2AX+53BP1 immunofluorescent staining procedures to ensure positive assay performance by presence of colocalizing γ -H2AX+53BP1 foci in these cells. The PBMCs analyzed were selected randomly on the cytospin preparations. Only nuclei of cells that were morphologically intact and did not to overlap with other cells were analyzed. Besides distinct small foci, γ -H2AX+53BP1-positive tracks and large foci ($\emptyset > 1.1 \mu m$) were observed in the cell nuclei. Since the tracks and these large foci only occurred in samples irradiated with α -emitters, the large foci likely resulted from α -hits laying perpendicular to the observed focal plane [8]. The number of the visible tracks and large foci were counted manually in a median of 826 cells (range: 573 cells–930 cells) by the same experienced investigator (H.S.) as " α -tracks", while small foci were neglected in this analysis.

Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis and plotting OriginPro 2017 (Origin Lab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA) was used. Shapiro-Wilk tests were performed to test whether data were normally distributed. As normality was rejected for most data sets, Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were applied to test whether the number of α -tracks was statistically significant at different time points. The standard deviation (SD) of the number of α -tracks per 100 cells was calculated for each sample assuming a Poisson-distribution.

Results

Patients and blood sampling

In total, nine patients (P1–P9) aged between 57 and 78 years were enrolled. The administered activity of $[^{223}Ra]RaCl_2$ ranged between 3.3 MBq and 5.6 MBq, depending on the weight of the patient. In some patients, there were slight deviations from the nominal activity. The demographic and clinical data of the patients, including the blood sampling time points, are listed in Table 1. Regarding the blood sampling, there were occasional deviations from the nominal blood sampling time points, and samples on the days after the treatment (\geq 24 h after administration) could not be taken from all patients.

Activity in the blood

The activity concentration in the radioactive blood samples ranged from 0.4 Bq ml⁻¹ (P8, 96 h after administration) to 87.4 Bq ml⁻¹ (P1, 1.5 h after administration). The corresponding values are listed in Suppl. Table 1. 4 h after administration, a median of 0.4% of the administered activity (range: 0.3–0.7%) per liter of blood remained. 24 h after administration, the remaining activity per liter blood decreased to a median of 0.1% (range: 0.04–0.16%). The activity concentration in the blood as a function of the time after administration is shown in Fig. 1. For dosimetry calculations, individual monoexponential or biexponential fits were performed. Biexponential fits could only be implemented in patients that provided data for at least

Patient ID	Age (years)	Weight (kg)	Administered activity (MBq)	Gleason score	Number of bone metastases	Pretreatments	Nominal blood sampling time points: time after administration
P1	63	95	5.5	9	Disseminated, > 50	Prostatectomy	Before administration, 1.5 h, 3 h, 4 h, 24 h, 48 h
P2	72	103	5.6	8	Approx. 5	None	Before administration, 1.5 h, 3 h, 4 h
P3	76	78	4.6	9	Disseminated, > 50	Prostatectomy, ADT, local RTx,	Before administration, 1.5 h, 3 h, 4 h
P4	78	63	3.3	8	Disseminated, > 50	Prostatectomy, ADT, CTx, RTx	Before administration, 1.5 h, 3 h, 4 h
P5	57	85	4.6	9	Disseminated, > 50	Prostatectomy, ADT, RTx, CTx	Before administration, 1 h, 2 h, 3 h
P6	72	89	4.9	9	Disseminated, > 50	Prostatectomy, ADT, CTx, RTx	Before administration, 1.5 h, 3 h, 4 h
P7	72	90	4.9	9	Disseminated, > 20	Prostatectomy, ADT, RTx	Before administration, 1.5 h, 3 h, 4 h, 24 h, 96 h, 28 d
P8	76	73	4.0	9	Approx. 10	Prostatectomy, ADT, RTx	Before administration, 1.5 h, 3 h, 4 h, 24 h, 48 h, 28 d
Р9	70	77	4.2	7	Disseminated, > 200	Prostatectomy, ADT, RTx	Before administration, 1.5 h, 3 h, 4 h, 24 h, 30 d

Table 1 Patient demographic and clinical data, including blood sampling time points

ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; CTx, chemotherapy; RTx, external-beam radiation therapy

two late time points (24 h and 48 h or 96 h after administration), i.e., patients P1, P7, and P8. In these cases, only the physical halflife of ²²³Ra was considered for one component of the biexponential fit function, i.e., physical decay was assumed for later time points.

Dosimetry

The absorbed doses to the blood up to 4 h after administration were below 6 mGy in all samples. 1.5 h, 3 h, and 4 h after administration, the median of the absorbed dose to the blood was 1.0 mGy (range: 0.5 mGy - 3.2 mGy), 1.8 mGy (range: 0.9 mGy - 4.6 mGy), and 2.1 mGy (range: 1.2 mGy - 5.2 mGy), respectively. The corresponding patient-specific



Fig. 1 Activity in the blood as a function of the time after administration

data is listed in Suppl. Table 1. The lack of data for late time points (> 24 h after administration) for some patients impeded an integration of the time-activity curves until $t = \infty$ and therefore the calculation of the total absorbed doses to the blood. Thus, total absorbed doses to the blood were calculated only if the activity retention in the blood could be fitted with a biexponential function, i.e., in patients P1, P7, and P8 that provided data 24 h and 48 h or 96 h after administration. This resulted in a total absorbed dose to the blood of 16.5 mGy (i.e., 3.0 mGy MBq⁻¹) for P1, 6.4 mGy (i.e., 1.3 mGy MBq⁻¹) for P7, and 4.0 mGy (i.e., 1.0 mGy MBq⁻¹) for P8.

By applying the model calculation for the biokinetics of a systemic [223 Ra]RaCl₂ application published by Lassmann and Nosske [10], the total numbers of decays per Becquerel resulted in 3159 for 223 Ra, 3694 for 219 Rn, 3694 for 215 Po, 4022 for 211 Bi, and 11 for 211 Po. The resulting total absorbed dose to the blood according to the model calculation was 3.0 mGy MBq⁻¹. This value matches the value calculated for P1 in this study.

DNA damage in PBMCs

 α -tracks were observed in all exposed samples at different sampling time points and displayed a variable morphology, with γ -H2AX usually marking the damaged chromatin track or sometimes even the entire nucleus, and 53BP1 forming a succession of large foci or clusters along the γ -H2AX-marked presumed particle track (Fig. 2), which agrees with previous reports [21, 24]. The damage patterns observed along the α tracks in PBMC nuclei of different samples and of different patients were of variable morphology. Figure 2 shows a selection of PMBC nuclei showing typical signal patterns of γ -



Fig. 2 a Selection of PBMC nuclei (DNA stained with DAPI, blue) with α -particle hits (α -tracks) stained for γ -H2AX (green) and 53BP1 (red), collected from different patient samples at successive times points after [²²³Ra]RaCl₂ administration. Cells shown reflect the variable morphologies of DNA damage markers along α -tracks seen among patient samples. Cell nuclei of a baseline sample without α -hits are shown for comparison to the left (0). α -tracks were usually composed of a more-or-less linear succession of large γ -H2AX-positve domains that show partial co-localization with large 53BP1 foci (see [21]). The overall

typically seen in a fraction of cells after high LET irradiation [24]. The α particle trajectory in this nucleus can be deduced by the succession of the 53BP1 foci (arrow; see red channel below). **b** Single color channel display of the RGB channel images in **a**, showing the distribution of the individual DSB marker proteins along the α -induced DNA damage tracks. The channel proteins and colors are indicated to the left. The α track in the pan- γ -H2AX cell is arrowed

H2AX and 53BP1 along α -tracks in PBMC nuclei of different patients.

In the baseline samples, which were taken before administration, γ -H2AX and 53BP1 staining revealed in average four α -tracks in 7382 counted cells of all baseline samples (average frequency of 0.05%). Six control samples did not display α tracks.

PBMCs of patients after ²²³Ra administration were found to display γ -H2AX and 53BP1-positive α -tracks (Fig. 2), with the average number of α -tracks per 100 cells in the irradiated samples ranging from 0 to 2.4. Usually, there was only one α -track per cell hit. At 1.5 h, 3 h, and 4 h after administration, the mean of the average number of α -tracks per 100 cells was 0.93 ± 0.33 , 1.37 ± 0.52 , and 1.28 ± 0.26 , respectively. Compared to baseline, the average α -track frequency was significantly increased in the samples taken 1.5 h (p = 0.008), 3 h (p = 0.004), and 4 h (p = 0.008) after administration. A significant increase of the α -track frequency was also observed between 1.5 and 3 h after administration (p = 0.008). 24 h after administration, the average number of α -tracks per 100 cells ranged between 0.82 (P8) and 2.37 (P1). Four weeks after administration, the average number of α -tracks per 100 cells was 0.67 in P7 and 0.12 in P9, while no α -tracks were detected in the 4 weeks sample of P8. The average number of α -tracks per 100 cells is listed in Suppl. Table 1 for each patient individually. The average number of α -tracks as a function of the time after administration is shown in Fig. 3a. An additional overview is given in Suppl. Fig. 1.

In Fig. 3b, the average number of α -tracks as a function of the absorbed dose to the blood is plotted. Based on the results of previous studies [14, 16, 17], a dose-dependent increase in α -tracks in the first hours after therapy start was expected. A comparison with previously published ex vivo data [8] is given in Fig. 4a. The ex vivo irradiation was accomplished by adding [²²³Ra]RaCl₂ solution with different activity concentrations to blood samples taken from volunteers and irradiating the blood ex vivo for 1 h at 37 °C on a roller mixer. The evaluation of the ex vivo α track DNA damage revealed that the relationship between the number of α -tracks and the absorbed dose to the blood



Fig. 3 a Average number of α -tracks per 100 cells as a function of the time after administration. b Average number of α -tracks per 100 cells as a function of the absorbed dose to the blood

b

3.0

in the range between 6 mGy and 136 mGy is described, with sufficient accuracy, by a linear relationship [8]. The corresponding ex vivo calibration curve and the data points up to an absorbed dose to the blood of 50 mGy are plotted in Fig. 4a. Compared to the ex vivo linear calibration curve, the average frequency of α -tracks in the dose range < 3 mGy in vivo was higher than expected, while the data of P1, who showed absorbed doses to the blood of \geq 3 mGy to the blood at all time points after administration, were more consistent with the ex vivo results [8]. In order to implement an in vivo calibration curve, as established in previous studies with β -particle emitting radionuclides [14, 16–18], a linear fit was performed (Fig. 4b). For this fit, the pooled patient data up to 3 h after administration in the dose range < 3 mGy were considered, i.e., the data of P1 were excluded from the analysis. This resulted in the following linear equation $(R^2 = 0.71)$:

Average number of α -tracks per 100 cells

$$= (0.159 \pm 0.072) + (0.640 \pm 0.088) \text{ mGy}^{-1} \cdot D_{\text{Bl},\alpha}$$

Compared to the slope of the linear ex vivo calibration curve [8], this slope is 2.9 times higher.

P1

P2



Fig. 4 Average number of α -tracks per 100 cells as a function of the absorbed dose to the blood in the first hours after administration. a Comparison between the pooled in vivo data of the nine patients (blue; data points up to 4 h after administration are shown) and ex vivo data collected in a previous study (red; only the data points up to an absorbed dose to the blood of 50 mGy are shown) [8]. The number of α -tracks



in vivo is higher than predicted by the straight line obtained by the ex vivo calibration (dotted line). b Individual patient data up to 3 h after administration with a linear fit (solid line) to the pooled data, including a 95% confidence band (gray area). The data of patient P1 was excluded. The ex vivo calibration curve [8], (dotted line) is shown for comparison

12

Discussion

Here we present, to our knowledge, the first investigation of the in vivo induction of DNA double-strand break damage tracks in PBMCs of patients during therapy with the α -particle emitting radiopharmaceutical [²²³Ra]RaCl₂. ²²³Ra treatment of castration-resistant prostate cancer patients has been observed to prolong lives of patients [4, 5]. It can lead to prolonged internal irradiation of bone, blood, and other organs [10, 11], which may induce hematotoxicity [11, 25]. The bone-seeking properties of ²²³Ra lead to exposure of the bone marrow and the exposure to α -emitters can lead to DNA damage [8, 9] and complex chromosome aberrations [6, 7].

Sakane et al. [26] previously reported the consistency between the results of two different markers for radiation damage, γ -H2AX, and chromosomal aberrations (CAs) for lowdose chest CT, thus linking results of the two assays. Furthermore, a link between CA frequency and cancer risk was established in an epidemiological study by Bonassi et al. [27]. Both studies, therefore, imply a potential link between DNA double-strand breaks and long-term cancer risk; however, as Brenner pointed out in a recent editorial, that considering all the available evidence to date, such a causal link is still not well established [28].

In blood samples taken after the administration of $[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$, PBMC nuclei with DNA damage tracks were detected that often displayed a variable morphology, with γ -H2AX being the most reliable marker of the damaged chromatin track. Both γ -H2AX and 53BP1 often formed a succession of large (super) foci along the presumed particle track, a feature that may relate to distribution of different chromatin moieties (eu-, heterochromatin) in the nucleus, chromatin motions [19, 29–31], and/or to preparation variables like antibody binding and chromatin compaction [21, 32–34].

We not only obtained results for the time-dependency of DNA damage induction but also investigated the dependency on the absorbed dose to the blood. The absorbed doses to the blood calculated in this study were in the very low dose range, with a maximum total absorbed dose to the blood of 16.5 mGy. The absorbed doses to the blood up to 4 h after the administration of the radiopharmaceutical were even below 5 mGy. However, their exact calculation is limited by the low number of blood sampling time points as well as by the rather low activity concentrations in the blood. Regarding the activity concentrations in the blood, we observed a high interindividual variation between the patients included in this study, implying large differences in absorbed dose values. Overall, the clearance of [²²³Ra]RaCl₂ from the blood was rapid, which is in good agreement with the results of Carrasquillo et al. who investigated the pharmacokinetic and biodistribution of [²²³Ra]RaCl₂ in ten patients [11]. They observed that 4 h after administration a median of 2.0% of the administered [²²³Ra]RaCl₂ activity was still in the patients'

plasma. 24 h after administration, it had decreased to a median of 0.55%. Assuming a plasma volume of 3.2 l, as stated in their publication as the median plasma volume, and the given plasma to blood activity concentration ratio of 1.5, this results in 0.4% and 0.1% of the administered activity per liter blood remaining in the circulation 4 h after administration and 24 h after administration, respectively [11]. These values are in accordance with the values obtained in our study, which emphasizes the reliability of the activity concentrations determined in our study that were used for the absorbed dose calculations.

Our γ -H2AX+53BP1 immunofluorescence data show an increased frequency of α -particle-induced DNA damage tracks in blood PBMCs after administration of [²²³Ra]RaCl₂. It appears that the γ -H2AX and 53BP1 assay is suitable to detect DNA damage even after α -exposures in the very low dose range. The in vivo specificity of the track DNA damage geometry for PBMC nuclei hit by α -particles renders the γ -H2AX assay a potent method for the verification of incorporation of α -emitting radionuclides or radionuclide mixtures in radiation accidents or malevolent events.

We are aware that our study is somewhat limited by the low number of included patients (n = 9), caused by recruitment problems and individualized treatment schemes. Due to the low number of patients, it was not possible to investigate a correlation between DNA damage in blood cells or the absorbed doses to the blood and the disease burden or pretreatment of the patients in this study. Furthermore, since the very low absorbed dose to the blood entails a very low frequency of α -track events, this low number of events may lead to relatively large statistical uncertainties. To reduce the uncertainties of the enumeration in the very low dose range below 3 mGy, we increased the number of counted cells to 573–930 cells per sample, relative to the 100 cells per sample in our previous ex vivo studies that focused on higher doses [8, 9].

The number of α -tracks in this very low dose range was higher than expected based on the ex vivo calibration curve of our previous study [8], as shown in Fig. 4, with the slope of the current in vivo calibration curve being 2.9 times higher than the slope of the ex vivo calibration curve [8]. This result may indicate a low dose hypersensitivity, as suggested in previous studies [18, 35, 36]. However, we cannot exclude that our results are somewhat affected by methodological limitations as discussed above. Furthermore, it should be noted that a direct comparison to the ex vivo data is difficult as the latter comprised a dose range from 6 mGy to 136 mGy, while the behavior in the very low dose range remained unexplored.

So far, there are only few studies reporting on radiobiological effects of $[^{223}Ra]RaCl_2$ [37, 38]. Due to different irradiation set-ups, cell types, and dose ranges, however, a direct comparison to our study is not possible. Runge et al. [39] investigated the repair proficiency in lymphocytes of prostate cancer patients over six therapy cycles with [²²³Ra]RaCl₂ by quantifying γ -H2AX foci before each cycle, but did not analyze the induction of the DSBs during the therapy. Contrary to our study, they did not observe any α -tracks 4 weeks after the treatment. In our study, the low but still elevated numbers of α -tracks in most of the late samples (24 h up to 4 weeks after administration) possibly indicate incomplete or arrested DNA repair in some circulating PBMCs, since α -induced DNA damage is considered to be complex and difficult to repair [40, 41]. In this respect, it has been realized that α -induced DNA damage poses a nearly insolvable task for the DNA repair machineries of the cell [41, 42], which could lead to the persistence of DNA damage tracks in long-lived PBMCs. Further investigations on the DNA repair factors and pathways active along α -tracks in nuclear DNA may lead to better understanding of repair processes active in α -damaged chromatin as indicated by recent super-resolution light microscopy [21, 43] or transmission electron microscopy studies [44, 45].

Up to now, there is only one investigation studying the biological effects in PMBCs during therapy with α -emitters. In this study, Stephan et al. determined the frequency of chromosomal aberrations and the absorbed dose to the blood in ankylosing spondylitis patients who were undergoing treatment with [²²⁴Ra]RaCl₂ with a total administered activity of 10 MBq (10 i.v. injections of 1 MBq per week) [23]. For this treatment, the absorbed dose coefficient to the blood was 4.7 mGy MBq^{-1} [23] compared to 3.0 mGy MBq^{-1} according to the model calculation for ²²³Ra in the current study. With respect to chromosomal aberrations, Stephan et al. could show an absorbed dose-dependency of the number of dicentrics in PMBCs during therapy, increasing with almost each 1 MBq injection of [²²⁴Ra]RaCl₂. As our study was limited to the first hours in the first therapy cycle and the respective change of α tracks over time and absorbed dose after one injection of about 5 MBq [²²³Ra]RaCl₂, a direct comparison of the biological effects is not possible, also because of the different endpoints studied. However, since our two ex vivo studies showed that the induction of α -tracks is identical for both radium isotopes [8, 9], we would expect similar α -track induction and decay patterns for both isotopes in vivo.

Conclusion

This in vivo study on [223 Ra]RaCl₂-induced DNA damage shows that α -tracks appearing in PBMCs can be detected at very low absorbed doses to the blood, highlighting the high sensitivity of the γ -H2AX+53BP1 DNA damage assay after internal exposure. Even at absorbed doses to the blood of less than 16 mGy and even below 3 mGy, there was an increased frequency of PBMCs with α -particle-induced DNA damage, which correlated with the absorbed dose to the blood in the first hours after administration. In some cases, α -tracks were detectable even 4 weeks after the treatment. However, further studies are needed to investigate the effects of incomplete DNA damage repair after multiple treatment cycles. Overall, this assay may prove useful in accident scenarios or for the estimation of DNA damage during radionuclide therapy, also with other α -emitting radiopharmaceuticals.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-020-05170-6.

Acknowledgments We would like to thank all patients for their participation in this study. We also thank the members of the Department of Nuclear Medicine in Würzburg and the Bundeswehr Institute of Radiobiology in Munich, especially A. K. Buck and M. Port, for support and assistance. Furthermore, we would like to acknowledge Dietmar Nosske (Federal Office for Radiation Protection, Germany, retired) for providing previously unpublished results of the amended dosimetry calculations for [²²³Ra]RaCl₂.

Author contributions Conceptualization: S.Sc., U.E., M.L., and H.S.; methodology: S.Sc., U.E., M.L., and H.S.; validation: S.Sc., U.E., M.L., and H.S.; formal analysis: S.Sc., U.E., M.L., and H.S.; investigation: S.Sc., U.E., C.L., J.M., S.Se., M.L., and H.S.; resources: M.L. and H.S.; data curation: S.Sc., U.E., M.L., and H.S.; writing - original draft preparation: S.Sc., U.E., M.L., and H.S.; writing - review and editing: S.Sc., U.E., C.L., S.Se., M.L., and H.S.; visualization: S.Sc., U.E., and H.S.; supervision: U.E., M.L., and H.S.; project administration: S.Sc., U.E., M.L., and H.S.; project administration: S.Sc., U.E., M.L., M.L., and H.S.; witing - S.Sc., U.E., M.L., and H.S.; project administration: S.Sc., U.E., M.L., M.L., and H.S.; witing - S.Sc., U.E., M.L., and H.S.; project administration: S.Sc., U.E., M.L., M.L., and H.S.; witing - S.Sc., U.E., M.L., and H.S.; project administration: S.Sc., U.E., M.L., M.L., and H.S.; witing - S.Sc., U.E., M.L., and H.S.; project administration: S.Sc., U.E., M.L., M.L., and H.S.; witing - S.Sc., U.E., M.L., and H.S.; project administration: S.Sc., U.E., M.L., M.L., and H.S.; project administration: S.Sc., W.E., M.L., M.L., and H.S.; project administration: S.Sc., U.E., M.L., and H.S.; project administration: S.Sc., W.E., M.L., and H.S.; project administration: S.Sc., W.E., M.L., and H.S.; project administration: S.Sc., W.E., M.L., administration: S.Sc.,

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. This study was funded by a grant of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG; grant numbers LA 2304/3-2 and SCHE 350/12-2). The funders had no role in study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Data availability The data sets generated and analyzed in the course of the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest ML has received research grants by IPSEN Pharma and Nordic Nanovector. All other authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval The study was performed in accordance with the ethical standards as laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards and has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Würzburg, Germany (Az: 209/14) by stating that there were no objections to the conduct of the study. All subjects signed an informed consent form.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- IARC International Agency for Research on Cancer. GLOBOCAN -Prostate-fact-sheet. WHO - International Agency for Research on Cancer 20. 2019. https://gco.iarc.fr/today/data/factsheets/cancers/27-Prostate-fact-sheet.pdf. Accessed 30.04.2020 2020.
- Coleman RE. Clinical features of metastatic bone disease and risk of skeletal morbidity. Clin Cancer Res: Off J Am Assoc Cancer Res. 2006;12(20 Pt 2):6243s–9s. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-0931.
- Lange PH, Vessella RL. Mechanisms, hypotheses and questions regarding prostate cancer micrometastases to bone. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 1998;17(4):331–6.
- Parker C, Nilsson S, Heinrich D, Helle SI, O'Sullivan JM, Fossa SD, et al. Alpha emitter radium-223 and survival in metastatic prostate cancer. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(3):213–23. https://doi.org/10. 1056/NEJMoa1213755.
- Hoskin P, Sartor O, O'Sullivan JM, Johannessen DC, Helle SI, Logue J, et al. Efficacy and safety of radium-223 dichloride in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer and symptomatic bone metastases, with or without previous docetaxel use: a prespecified subgroup analysis from the randomised, double-blind, phase 3 ALSYMPCA trial. Lancet Oncol. 2014;15(12):1397–406. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70474-7.
- Purrott RJ, Edwards AA, Lloyd DC, Stather JW. The induction of chromosome aberrations in human lymphocytes by in vitro irradiation with alpha-particles from plutonium-239. Int J Radiat Biol Relat Stud Phys Chem Med. 1980;38(3):277–84.
- Anderson RM, Stevens DL, Goodhead DT. M-FISH analysis shows that complex chromosome aberrations induced by alphaparticle tracks are cumulative products of localized rearrangements. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002;99(19):12167–72. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.182426799.
- Schumann S, Eberlein U, Muhtadi R, Lassmann M, Scherthan H. DNA damage in leukocytes after internal ex-vivo irradiation of blood with the alpha-emitter Ra-223. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):2286. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20364-7.
- Schumann S, Eberlein U, Müller J, Scherthan H, Lassmann M. Correlation of the absorbed dose to the blood and DNA damage in leukocytes after internal ex-vivo irradiation of blood samples with Ra-224. EJNMMI Res. 2018;8(1):77. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s13550-018-0422-4.
- Lassmann M, Nosske D. Dosimetry of ²²³Ra-chloride: dose to normal organs and tissues. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2013;40(2): 207–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-012-2265-y.
- Carrasquillo JA, O'Donoghue JA, Pandit-Taskar N, Humm JL, Rathkopf DE, Slovin SF, et al. Phase I pharmacokinetic and biodistribution study with escalating doses of ²²³Ra-dichloride in men with castration-resistant metastatic prostate cancer. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2013;40(9):1384–93. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00259-013-2427-6.
- Soldatos TG, Iakovou I, Sachpekidis C. Retrospective toxicological profiling of radium-223 dichloride for the treatment of bone metastases in prostate cancer using adverse event data. Medicina (Kaunas). 2019;55(5):149. https://doi.org/10.3390/ medicina55050149.
- Lassmann M, Hänscheid H, Gassen D, Biko J, Meineke V, Reiners C, et al. In vivo formation of gamma-H2AX and 53BP1 DNA repair foci in blood cells after radioiodine therapy of differentiated

thyroid cancer. J Nucl Med. 2010;51(8):1318–25. https://doi.org/ 10.2967/jnumed.109.071357.

- Eberlein U, Nowak C, Bluemel C, Buck AK, Werner RA, Scherthan H, et al. DNA damage in blood lymphocytes in patients after ¹⁷⁷Lu peptide receptor radionuclide therapy. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2015;42(11):1739–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00259-015-3083-9.
- Eberlein U, Peper M, Fernandez M, Lassmann M, Scherthan H. Calibration of the gamma-H2AX DNA double strand break focus assay for internal radiation exposure of blood lymphocytes. PLoS One. 2015;10(4):e0123174. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0123174.
- Eberlein U, Scherthan H, Bluemel C, Peper M, Lapa C, Buck AK, et al. DNA damage in peripheral blood lymphocytes of thyroid cancer patients after radioiodine therapy. J Nucl Med. 2016;57(2): 173–9. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.115.164814.
- Schumann S, Scherthan H, Lapa C, Serfling S, Muhtadi R, Lassmann M, et al. DNA damage in blood leucocytes of prostate cancer patients during therapy with ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2019;46(8):1723–32. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00259-019-04317-4.
- Schumann S, Scherthan H, Frank T, Lapa C, Müller J, Seifert S et al. DNA damage in blood leukocytes of prostate cancer patients undergoing PET/CT examinations with [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-PSMA I&T. Cancers (Basel). 2020;12(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/ cancers12020388.
- Aten JA, Stap J, Krawczyk PM, van Oven CH, Hoebe RA, Essers J, et al. Dynamics of DNA double-strand breaks revealed by clustering of damaged chromosome domains. Science. 2004;303(5654): 92–5. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1088845.
- Ding D, Zhang Y, Wang J, Wang X, Fan D, He L, et al. Gamma-H2AX/53BP1/pKAP-1 foci and their linear tracks induced by in vitro exposure to radon and its progeny in human peripheral blood lymphocytes. Sci Rep. 2016;6:38295. https://doi.org/10. 1038/srep38295.
- Scherthan H, Lee JH, Maus E, Schumann S, Muhtadi R, Chojowski R et al. Nanostructure of clustered DNA damage in leukocytes after in-solution irradiation with the alpha emitter Ra-223. Cancers (Basel). 2019;11(12). https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11121877.
- Bolch WE, Eckerman KF, Sgouros G, Thomas SR. MIRD pamphlet No. 21: a generalized schema for radiopharmaceutical dosimetry-standardization of nomenclature. J Nucl Med. 2009;50(3):477–84. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.108.056036.
- Stephan G, Kampen WU, Nosske D, Roos H. Chromosomal aberrations in peripheral lymphocytes of patients treated with radium-224 for ankylosing spondylitis. Radiat Environ Biophys. 2005;44(1):23–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00411-005-0275-x.
- Horn S, Brady D, Prise K. Alpha particles induce pan-nuclear phosphorylation of H2AX in primary human lymphocytes mediated through ATM. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2015;1853(10 Pt A): 2199–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2015.06.010.
- Dadhania S, Alonzi R, Douglas S, Gogbashian A, Hughes R, Dalili D, et al. Single-centre experience of use of radium 223 with clinical outcomes based on number of cycles and bone marrow toxicity. Anticancer Res. 2018;38(9):5423–7. https://doi.org/10.21873/ anticanres.12873.
- Sakane H, Ishida M, Shi L, Fukumoto W, Sakai C, Miyata Y, et al. Biological effects of low-dose chest CT on chromosomal DNA. Radiology. 2020;190389. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol. 2020190389.
- Bonassi S, Norppa H, Ceppi M, Stromberg U, Vermeulen R, Znaor A, et al. Chromosomal aberration frequency in lymphocytes predicts the risk of cancer: results from a pooled cohort study of 22 358 subjects in 11 countries. Carcinogenesis. 2008;29(6):1178–83. https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgn075.

- Brenner DJ. Low radiation doses: small risks? No risks? Or risks to only a few? Radiology. 2020;200212. https://doi.org/10.1148/ radiol.2020200212.
- Krawczyk PM, Borovski T, Stap J, Cijsouw T, ten Cate R, Medema JP, et al. Chromatin mobility is increased at sites of DNA double-strand breaks. J Cell Sci. 2012;125(Pt 9):2127–33. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.089847.
- Sollazzo A, Brzozowska B, Cheng L, Lundholm L, Scherthan H, Wojcik A. Live dynamics of 53BP1 foci following simultaneous induction of clustered and dispersed DNA damage in U2OS cells. International journal of molecular sciences. 2018;19(2). https://doi. org/10.3390/ijms19020519.
- Hurst V, Shimada K, Gasser SM. Nuclear actin and actin-binding proteins in DNA repair. Trends Cell Biol. 2019;29(6):462–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2019.02.010.
- Costes SV, Chiolo I, Pluth JM, Barcellos-Hoff MH, Jakob B. Spatiotemporal characterization of ionizing radiation induced DNA damage foci and their relation to chromatin organization. Mutat Res. 2010;704(1–3):78–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev. 2009.12.006.
- Falk M, Lukasova E, Kozubek S. Higher-order chromatin structure in DSB induction, repair and misrepair. Mutat Res. 2010;704(1–3): 88–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2010.01.013.
- Lorat Y, Schanz S, Schuler N, Wennemuth G, Rübe C, Rübe CE. Beyond repair foci: DNA double-strand break repair in euchromatic and heterochromatic compartments analyzed by transmission electron microscopy. PLoS One. 2012;7(5):e38165. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0038165.
- Beels L, Bacher K, De Wolf D, Werbrouck J, Thierens H. Gamma-H2AX foci as a biomarker for patient X-ray exposure in pediatric cardiac catheterization: are we underestimating radiation risks? Circulation. 2009;120(19):1903–9. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.109.880385.
- Vandevoorde C, Franck C, Bacher K, Breysem L, Smet MH, Ernst C, et al. Gamma-H2AX foci as in vivo effect biomarker in children emphasize the importance to minimize x-ray doses in paediatric CT imaging. Eur Radiol. 2015;25(3):800–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00330-014-3463-8.
- Bannik K, Madas B, Jarzombek M, Sutter A, Siemeister G, Mumberg D, et al. Radiobiological effects of the alpha emitter Ra-223 on tumor cells. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):18489. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-019-54884-7.

- Al Darwish R, Staudacher AH, Li Y, Brown MP, Bezak E. Development of a transmission alpha particle dosimetry technique using A549 cells and a Ra-223 source for targeted alpha therapy. Med Phys. 2016;43(11):6145. https://doi.org/10.1118/1.4965805.
- Runge R, Oehme L, Grosche-Schlee S, Braune A, Freudenberg R, Kotzerke J. Induction and rejoining of DNA double-strand breaks in the lymphocytes of prostate cancer patients after radium-223 treatment as assessed by the gammaH2AX foci assay. Nuklearmedizin. 2019;58(5):387–94. https://doi.org/10.1055/a-0974-3767.
- Hagiwara Y, Oike T, Niimi A, Yamauchi M, Sato H, Limsirichaikul S, et al. Clustered DNA double-strand break formation and the repair pathway following heavy-ion irradiation. J Radiat Res. 2019;60(1):69–79. https://doi.org/10.1093/jrr/rry096.
- Nikitaki Z, Nikolov V, Mavragani IV, Mladenov E, Mangelis A, Laskaratou DA, et al. Measurement of complex DNA damage induction and repair in human cellular systems after exposure to ionizing radiations of varying linear energy transfer (LET). Free Radic Res. 2016;50(sup1):S64–78. https://doi.org/10.1080/10715762. 2016.1232484.
- 42. Sage E, Shikazono N. Radiation-induced clustered DNA lesions: repair and mutagenesis. Free Radic Biol Med. 2017;107:125–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2016.12.008.
- 43. Bobkova E, Depes D, Lee JH, Jezkova L, Falkova I, Pagacova E et al. Recruitment of 53BP1 proteins for DNA repair and persistence of repair clusters differ for cell types as detected by single molecule localization microscopy. Int J Mol Sci. 2018;19(12). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19123713.
- 44. Rübe CE, Lorat Y, Schuler N, Schanz S, Wennemuth G, Rübe C. DNA repair in the context of chromatin: new molecular insights by the nanoscale detection of DNA repair complexes using transmission electron microscopy. DNA Repair (Amst). 2011;10(4):427– 37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2011.01.012.
- Lorat Y, Schanz S, Rübe CE. Ultrastructural insights into the biological significance of persisting DNA damage foci after low doses of ionizing radiation. Clin Cancer Res: Off J Am Assoc Cancer Res. 2016;22(21):5300–11. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-15-3081.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.