# **Addendum to Mercury and Its Inorganic Compounds**



# **10 Re-evaluation of the BAT Values**

A BAT documentation is available from 1997 in which the BAT values were established at 100 µg Hg/l urine and 25 µg Hg/l blood (see BAT documentation 1997). The last addendum for the MAK value was published in 1999 (Greim 1999).

Since the last BAT documentation, new studies have been published, particularly on the neurotoxic and nephrotoxic effects of mercury which make a re-evaluation necessary.

# **10.1 Metabolism and toxicokinetics**

To determine the toxicokinetics of mercury vapours, 9 volunteers were exposed for 15 minutes to median mercury vapour concentrations of about 0.4 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (range 0.365– 0.430 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) under light physical exercise. The median mercury retention was 69 % of the inhaled dose. During the first three days after exposure, 7.5 % to 12 % of the absorbed dose had been eliminated via exhalation; the half-life was 2 days. In the plasma, the median value of the half-life in the second phase was about 10 days. The amount of mercury eliminated with the urine was 1 % on the first day and up to 40 % after 30 days. In volunteers, the half-life values of mercury in urine greatly varied and were between 12.8 and 98.9 days, with a median of 63.2 days (Jonsson et al. 1999; Sandborgh-Englund et al. 1998).

*The MAK-Collection Part IV: BAT Value Documentations, Vol. 5.* DFG, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft © 2010 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim ISBN: 978-3-527-32614-3

## **10.2 Exposure and effects**

#### **10.2.1 Nephrotoxicity**

The cross-sectional studies of nephrotoxic effects published since the BAT documentation of 1997 are summarized in Table 1. No publications on longitudinal studies are available.

#### **Cross-sectional studies**

#### **Investigations in persons with amalgam fillings**

Mortada et al. (2002) compared 49 non-smokers with an average of 4.4 amalgam fillings, who were found to have a concentration of  $1.79 \mu g$  Hg/g creatinine (about 2.2 µg Hg/l urine), with 52 non-smokers without amalgam fillings selected according to age and socio-economical status. In the patients with amalgam, the parameters for N-acetyl-β,D-glucosaminidase (NAG), γ-glutamyl transpeptidase (γ-GT) and albumin were significantly increased. All of the increased mean values of enzymes in the patients with amalgam were above the standard deviation of the controls, indicating relevant kidney changes in persons with amalgam. As the amount of mercury to which the amalgam group is exposed is very low, however, and as no changes in kidney enzymes can be observed at such mercury levels in the studies on exposed workers, it is very questionable whether the kidney changes can be attributed to mercury exposure from the amalgam fillings or to other factors. Therefore, this study will not be considered when establishing the BAT value.

#### **Investigations in dental medical personnel**

Rojas et al. (2000) demonstrated that, in 22 dentists, the activity of NAG in urine was increased slightly but not in a statistically significant manner at  $2.9 \pm 3.0$  units/l which, in comparison, was lower than the values of  $5.2 \pm 8.1$  units/l measured in the 15 assistants. In both denstists and dental assistants, the concentrations in urine were 22 µg Hg/g creatinine (about 26 µg Hg/l urine). No control population was investigated. As the mercury exposure level of both groups was the same, it can be assumed that the slight differences in NAG activity may be attributed to other factors.

#### **Investigations in industrial workers**

In 122 workers with mean concentrations of 8.1 µg Hg/g creatinine in urine (about 9.7  $\mu$ g Hg/l urine) (Alinovi et al. 2002) or in 38 workers with concentrations of 11.9  $\mu$ g Hg/g creatinine (about 14.3  $\mu$ g Hg/l urine) and maximum values of 35  $\mu$ g Hg/g creatinine (about 42 µg Hg/l urine) (Camerino et al. 2002), no differences could be found in the kidney parameters investigated to those of the 197 and 47 controls, respectively.

Ellingsen et al. (2000a) reported on statistically significant differences in NAG activity in 47 workers with concentrations of 5.9 (1.1 to 16.8) nmol Hg/mmol creatinine (about 12.5 (2.3 to 35.7) µg Hg/l urine). No other kidney parameters in urine or in serum were changed. The differences in NAG activity between exposed persons  $(0.18 \pm 0.09 \text{ U/m})$ mmol creatinine) and controls  $(0.14 \pm 0.10 \text{ U/mmol}$  creatinine) were however very slight, which makes the biological relevance of the findings questionable, especially as the other kidney parameters investigated showed no significant differences.

El-Safty et al. (2003) investigated 20 non-smoking workers exposed for up to 11 years showing concentrations of 21.4  $\pm$  15.9 µg Hg/g creatinine (26  $\pm$  19 µg Hg/l urine), as well as 27 non-smoking workers with exposures of up to 10 years showing concentrations of  $25.6 \pm 19.3$  µg Hg/g creatinine  $(31 \pm 23$  µg Hg/l urine), and 36 nonsmoking control persons. Three corresponding groups of smokers were investigated at the same time. The non-smoking workers exposed to mercury showed changes in five different kidney parameters (total protein, retinol-binding protein, leucine aminopeptidase, glutathione transferase, NAG) accepted as being sensitive for mercuryrelated kidney effects. In smokers, the values were also increased without mercury exposure, and continued to increase with increasing mercury exposure.

In a Europe-wide study by Cárdenas et al. (1993) to determine the renal toxicity of different metals, 14 kidney parameters were measured in workers. In the case of mercury, no changes in kidney parameters were observed at urinary concentrations below 5 µg Hg/g creatinine (about 6 µg Hg/l urine). The first changes in parameters (prostaglandin PGE<sub>2</sub>, PGF<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub>, thromboxane TXB<sub>2</sub>) were recorded at concentrations between 5 and 50 µg Hg/g creatinine (about 6 to 60 µg Hg/l urine) (Price et al. 1996); significantly reduced eicosanoid concentrations, especially PGE<sub>2</sub>, were already reported at 35 µg Hg/g creatinine (about 42 µg Hg/l urine) (Roels 2002; Roels et al. 1999). At concentrations of over 50  $\mu$ g Hg/g creatinine (greater than 60  $\mu$ g Hg/l urine), brush border antigens BB<sub>50</sub>, BBA and HF5 and intestinal alkaline phosphatase were increased in particular. The Tamm-Horsfall glycoprotein (THG), NAG,  $BB_{50}$ , HF5 and intestinal alkaline phosphatase parameters were positively correlated with the mercury concentration in urine, although they showed no correlation with exposure duration (Price et al. 1996). Those parameters sensitive to mercury-related kidney changes (Taylor et al. 1997; Wedeen et al. 1999, see also below) are among those cited in particular.

In a study by Abdennour et al. (2002) on two groups of workers with a excretion of  $29.3 \pm 23.2$  µg Hg/g creatinine and 138.6  $\pm$  80.9 µg Hg/g creatinine (35.2  $\pm$  27.8 µg Hg/ l urine and  $166.3 \pm 97.1$  ug Hg/l urine), higher numbers of workers with proteinuria (14.6 % and 39 %) were found as exposure increased when compared with the control group (4.9 %). The urinary pH value was frequently below 6.5, especially in the workers of the high-exposure group.

Further studies (often of an earlier date) confirmed that, in workers with mean concentrations in urine of 84.1 nmol Hg/l  $(16.9 \mu g Hg/l)$  with a maximum value of 260 nmol Hg/l (52 µg Hg/l), no changes in the albumin and NAG values were found in urine (Piikivi and Ruokonen 1989). Changes in kidney parameters are to be observed at concentrations in urine of above 50 µg Hg/l (Barregård et al. 1988; Buchet et al. 1980; Ehrenberg et al. 1991; Himeno et al. 1986) or above 100 µg Hg/l, respectively (Kolenič et al. 1994, 1997; Marek and Wocka-Marek 1994). One investigation reports indications of changes in kidney parameters at concentrations below 50 µg Hg/l urine (Foà et al. 1976). As, however, in these investigations, only one kidney parameter was usually measured, they are not described in further detail here.

#### **Adversity of nephrotoxic effects**

All forms of mercury are nephrotoxic, and inorganic forms have a greater acute effect than organic forms. Kidney damage from inorganic mercury compounds manifests itself to full extent within 24 hours after exposure. Inorganic mercury is taken up by the kidneys, where it accumulates. Within the kidneys, the pars recta of the proximal tubuli constitutes the most sensitive segment as regards the toxic effect. Here, interactions occur between mercury ions and the thiol groups of protein, peptides and amino acids. The interactions with albumin, metallothionein, glutathione and cysteine are of particular importance. As a result of the toxic effects, kidney cells are damaged, cellular enzymes and proteins are released into the tubular lumen, from where they are excreted with the urine (Zalups 2000).

When interpreting such changes, the question is important whether the different biomarkers indicate adverse nephrotoxic effects.

The spectrum of the indicators for kidney damage comprises a wide range of parameters, which may be classified as follows (Price et al. 1996, Wedeen et al. 1999):

- 1. High molecular proteins, e.g. albumin, immunglobulin G (IgG), transferrin
- 2. Low molecular proteins, e.g. β<sub>2</sub>-microglobulin (β<sub>2</sub>M),  $\alpha_1$ -microglobulin, retinol-binding protein (RBP)
- 3. Lysosomal proteins (enzymes), e.g. N-acetyl-β,D-glucosaminidase (NAG), glutathione transferase (GST)
- 4. Brush border enzymes, e.g. alanine aminopeptidase, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase (γ-GT), intestinal alkaline phosphatase (IAP)
- 5. Distal tubular proteins, e.g. Tamm-Horsfall glycoprotein (THP)
- 6. Glomerular structural proteins, e.g. glycosaminoglycans (GAG)
- 7. Prostaglandins, e.g.  $PGE_2$ ,  $PGF_{2\alpha}$ <br>8. Kallikrein (Kal)
- Kallikrein (Kal)

In principle, increased excretion of high molecular proteins is more important for indication of serious kidney damage than molecular proteins or enzymes. More lysosomal enzymes are released from damaged tubular cells than from the circulation. A tubular proteinuria can also indicate a merely transitory effect. The evidence of biomarkers in urine is in the case of an exposure to mercury – contrary to cadmium – not a sufficiently reliable indicator of chronic renal damage (Wedeen et al. 1999). In the context of specificity, the results of investigations indicate that it is not the individual biomarker that shows a higher specificity, but specific patterns (Taylor et al. 1997). In the case of mercury, the following biomarkers are considered to be particularly sensitive: intestinal alkaline phosphatase, retinol-binding protein and brush-border-specific antigens (Taylor et al. 1997; Wedeen et al. 1999).

When interpreting the findings, physiological variability of the biomarker must also be taken into account. Both the intraindividual as well as the interindividual variability of the parameters is considerable; this means that an adverse toxic effect need not necessarily be taken into consideration, even in cases where statistically significant differences are found (Taylor et al. 1997).

#### **Concluding assessment of data on nephrotoxicity**

In a number of different studies, some of them of an earlier date, consistent changes in different mercury-relevant kidney parameters have been observed at mercury concentrations of 50 µg/l urine and above. No clear effects on kidney parameters were

measured in workers found to have concentrations in urine of up to 35 µg Hg/ l (Ellingsen et al. 2000a) or 42 µg Hg/l urine (Alinovi et al. 2002; Camerino et al. 2002). In the study conducted by El-Safty et al. (2003), nephrotoxic changes were found in nonsmoking workers after more than 11 years of exposure, with concentrations in urine of  $26 \pm 19$  ug Hg/l urine and in non-smoking workers after 10 years of exposure with levels of  $31 \pm 23$  µg Hg/l urine, respectively. From the mean values and the standard deviations reported in this study, it can be deduced that the effects occur at concentrations corresponding to the  $95<sup>th</sup>$  percentile of about 40 or 50 µg Hg/l urine.

Thus, available data indicate that no relevant mercury-related nephrotoxic effects are to be expected at a concentration of 30  $\mu$ g Hg/l urine when taking the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile into account.

#### **10.2.2 Neurotoxicity**

In the Section of the 1997 BAT documentation entitled Neurotoxicity, it was stated that no increased occurrence of relevant neurological effects could be observed at a urinary excretion of less than 100 µg Hg/l. This statement was principally based on findings from longitudinal studies. The findings from cross-sectional investigations which, in part, deviate from the former, only received a low grade of scientific validity. In the documentation for establishing limit values, the fact was given that, when taking the relevance for health of the neurophysiological, psychological and psychomotor findings into account, neurotoxic effects relevant to occupational medicine can only start occurring at repeatedly found concentrations above 100 µg Hg/l urine (see documentation 1997). Taking more recent data on neurotoxic effects (see Table 2) as well as a meta-analysis and an analysis on dose-effect relationships into account, this conclusion is now being revised.

#### **Cross-sectional studies**

#### **Investigations in persons with amalgam fillings**

In 550 adults with amalgam fillings, Factor-Litvak et al. (2003) found no correlation between neuropsychological test results and a concentration in urine of 1.7 µg Hg/ g creatinine (about 2.0 µg Hg/l urine). As the mercury levels resulting from the amalgam fillings are within the background exposure of the general population, these studies are not suitable for deriving a BAT value for mercury.

#### **Investigations in dental medical personnel**

Echeverria et al. (1998) investigated dental medical personnel ( $n = 48$ ) with mean current urinary concentrations of 0.89 and 1.07 µg Hg/l. Functions of attentiveness, motor performance and mood scores showed significant dose-effect correlations with actual exposure parameters, while symptom scores and verbal memory tests were correlated with previous exposures.

In an investigation conducted by Aydin et al. (2003), dental medical personnel  $(n = 43)$  with current exposures of 1.17 nmol Hg/mmol creatinine (2.5  $\mu$ g Hg/l urine) reported more symptoms and showed a reduced performance in a memory test as compared with 43 control persons.

The dental medical personnel ( $n = 162$ ) with mean current exposures of 5.5 µg Hg/l investigated by Ritchie et al. (2002) reported an increasingly restricted ability to concentrate (25.9 % versus 9.4 % in controls), and showed less favourable group results versus 163 control persons in an attentiveness and a memory test respectively. Nevertheless, no relationship with mercury exposure could be detected here in a regression analysis after making adjustments for sex and age – the dental medical personnel concerned was on average 7 years older than the controls.

Langworth et al. (1997) investigated 22 dentists and 22 female dental nurses and found weak correlations between symptoms and mood scores with mercury concentrations. In those exposed, the concentration in urine was currently at 3.0 nmol Hg/mmol creatinine (a concentration of about 6.4 µg Hg/l urine). On average, the investigated persons had been employed for 20 years (range 8 to 35 years) in the same field. At the time of the investigation, however, working with amalgam only took up about 24 minutes per person and day.

Urban et al. (1999) compared the visual evoked potentials and nerve conduction velocity measurements of 36 dentists showing current excretion of 13.2 µg Hg/24 h  $(\approx \mu g/l)$  with employees (see below) and 46 control persons showing an excretion of 0.8  $\mu$ g Hg/24 h ( $\approx \mu$ g/l). A change in amplitudes of the visual evoked potentials could be demonstrated in all exposed groups versus the control group, by contrast to which no differences between the groups could be demonstrated.

Bittner et al. (1998) summarized the original data for 230 persons from 6 studies involving dentists. Approximately half of the dentists were found to have concentrations of around 3 µg Hg/l urine, the other half around 25 µg Hg/l urine. The authors found a significant association between test results on intentional hand steadiness and the logtransformed urinary mercury content.

The importance of the results of investigations in dental medical personnel on the neurotoxicity of mercury is problematic for two reasons. On the one hand, dose-effect relations in cognitive performance parameters and experienced symptoms have been recorded even at current mercury exposure levels within the normal range of the general population (≤ 5 µg Hg/l urine); this especially applies to the results of Echeverria et al. (1998) and Langworth et al. (1997). On the other hand, the fact must be taken into account that the use of amalgam fillings has decreased due to substitution by alternative materials over recent years and that, where amalgam fillings are still being used, modern methods are minimizing mercury exposure. Short-term, relatively high mercury exposure levels must be assumed where older methods are used, particularly in the preparation of amalgam fillings (using liquid mercury) as well as in the insertion, polishing and removal of such fillings. Symptoms of poisoning have also been reported (see Langworth et al. 1997). As to how far, in the investigations reported above, the findings reported can be attributed to higher mercury exposure levels of an earlier date, or to other substances used in dental practices, is difficult to decide. The available studies on dentists or dental personnel are thus not suitable for deriving a BAT value.

#### **Investigations in industrial workers**

In a study involving 47 chloralkali workers, Ellingsen et al. (2001) described no significant group differences in comparison with the control group in the context of neuropsychological tests and self-reported subjective symptoms. In multiple linear regression analyses incorporating potential confounders, however, an association was found between reduced attention and memory performance parameters and the current blood content (20.7 nmol Hg/l blood), as well as between attention performance and the

average exposure level to mercury in urine over preceding years. The mean annual exposure was at 9 nmol Hg/mmol creatinine (about 19 µg Hg/l urine) with a range of 4.0 to 19.6 nmol Hg/mmol creatinine (about 8.5 to 42 µg Hg/l urine). As stated by the authors, the effects were slight and probably of no clinical relevance. Data on biological monitoring had been recorded since 1949. Maximum concentrations were obtained in 1983 at about 11 nmol Hg/mmol creatinine (about 18 µg Hg/l urine) with a 95 % confidence interval up to about 14 nmol Hg/mmol creatinine (23 µg Hg/l urine). The current urinary content was cited to be 5.9 nmol Hg/mmol creatinine (about 12.6 µg Hg/ l urine) with a range of 1.1 to 16.8 nmol Hg/mmol creatinine (about 2.3 to 36 µg Hg/ l urine). As the exposures were recorded over a considerable number of years in conjunction with consistent exposures at low concentrations (about 20 to 30 µg Hg/ l urine), and due to a good methodical performance, this study is suitable for the derivation of a BAT value. The neurological tests showed, with the exception of slight effects of subclinical relevance, no adverse changes in the workers.

Lucchini et al. (2002, 2003) compared 122 workers who had been exposed to mercury for an average of 14.6 years with 196 control subjects. The mean current urinary concentration was  $10 \pm 6.9$  µg/g creatinine (12.5  $\pm$  8.3 µg Hg/l) (95<sup>th</sup> percentile, 23.5  $\mu$ g Hg/g creatinine, corresponding to about 28.2  $\mu$ g Hg/l urine) in the exposed workers and  $1.9 \pm 2.8 \mu$ g/g creatinine (95<sup>th</sup> percentile, 5.4  $\mu$ g Hg/g creatinine, corresponding to about 6.5 µg Hg/l urine) in the controls. No details on earlier exposures were given. Significant effects were found in the exposed workers, both in group comparison as well as in regression analyses. Reduced motor coordination, aggravated tremor and reduced prolactin concentrations in the serum (neuroendocrine parameter) were correlated with the occupational exposure to mercury. Only the reduced serum prolactin concentrations correlated with the current urinary mercury concentration. Interpretation of these findings is difficult according to the authors. The relevance and adverse nature of the findings are not clear; here, too, no details on earlier exposures are cited. Hence, these findings cannot be used for establishing the BAT value.

Urban et al. (2003b) established differences in colour discrimination ability on comparing 24 male chloralkali workers with 24 male control subjects. Here, the Colour Confusion Index (CCI) was increased at 1.15 versus control at 1.04. The groups were comparable in the context of age. Because they are not so high in absolute terms, the significant differences in alcohol and nicotine consumption between the groups are not indicative of a causal relationship; this was demonstrated in regression analyses as well. The mean current concentration of the exposed group was  $20.5 \pm 19.3$  ug Hg/ g creatinine (about 24.6  $\pm$  23.2 µg Hg/l), the mean exposure time was 14.7  $\pm$  9.7 years. The authors concluded that mercury is able to induce subclinical impairment in colour discrimination ability. However, as the increase in CCI to 1.15 is not to be assessed as an adverse effect, these findings cannot be used for establishing the BAT value.

Urban et al. (2003a) compared the reaction to light stimuli provided during EEG measurements in 24 male chloralkali workers with 24 male control subjects. Flushed out mercury was used as a biomarker within 24 hours after administration of a chelating agent. The mean concentration was  $64.3 \pm 59.9$  µg Hg/24 h ( $\approx \mu$ g/l assuming an elimination rate of 1 litre urine in 24 hours). The mean exposure time was  $15 \pm 9.7$  years. The groups were comparable in the context of age. Significant group differences in electrophysiological activity were found as a result of light stimulation. In this study as

well, an influence of the significant differences in alcohol and nicotine consumption between the groups appears to be extremely improbable as a result of the absolute quantities taken up (e.g. 140 g alcohol per week). There were only hints of a dose-effect relationship could be established: it was possible to show that the changes in EEG in the exposed group depended on a cumulative exposure index ( $p \le 0.10$ ). Because of the administration of a chelating agent, which resulted in increased urinary mercury elimination, this study is not comparable with the other studies and is thus not suitable for the derivation of a BAT value.

Urban et al. (1999) compared visual evoked potentials and nerve conduction measurements between different groups of mercury-exposed and control subjects. She investigated 36 dentists (see above) as well as 36 chloralkali workers with current levels of 129 µg Hg/24 h, 77 workers employed in the liquefaction of mercury were showing current exposure levels of 840  $\mu$ g Hg/24 h and 46 control subjects showing 0.8  $\mu$ g Hg/ 24 h. In all exposed groups, a change in amplitude in the visual evoked potentials versus the control group could be identified although, on the other hand, no differences between the groups could be demonstrated. In addition to this, latent times revealed a dose-effect correlation with the quantity of flushed out mercury, which can be taken as an indicator of mercury exposure in the past. Since this dose-effect correlation could only partly be confirmed, and due to the relatively high mercury exposure of industrial workers, this investigation cannot be used for establishing the BAT value.

#### **Investigations in former industrial workers no longer active in industry**

The possible reversibility of the functional restrictions described can be discussed using studies in which formerly exposed subjects were investigated (Frumkin et al. 2001a; Kishi et al. 1994; Letz et al. 2000; Mathiesen et al. 1999). These studies present results from subjects whose current mercury exposure at the time point of investigation corresponded to that in non-exposed subjects which had, however, been considerably higher in former years.

Thus, Frumkin et al. (2001a) investigated 147 former alkali chloride workers employed between 1956 and 1994 who had no longer been exposed between 0 and 35 years (5.7 years on average). A total of 78 mercury measurements conducted between 1988 and 1991 yielded an average of 72.1 µg Hg/l urine within a range from 13.0 to 172.7 µg Hg/l urine. The current concentrations of the workers were scarcely different at  $3.42 \pm 2.54$  µg Hg/l from those of the 132 control subjects at  $3.12 \pm 2.48$  µg Hg/l. In the former workers, in the group comparison, all of the self-reported (subjective) symptoms were significantly increased. Also, on investigation of sensitivity to vibration, tremor, motor (response) rapidity, motor coordination and memory functions, significantly less favourable results were found in the exposed subjects. On the other hand, for the conduction velocity in the nerves of the fibular muscle (musculus peroneus), a significantly better value was obtained in the group of exposed subjects. On analysis of the relationships between exposure and effect, the nerve conduction velocities were correlated with the cumulative exposure level, motor coordination with the mean exposure, and reduced sensory nerve conduction velocities as well as verbal performances with exposure peaks. Nevertheless, a large number of relationships were found in the unexpected direction. As one possible cause for the absence of unequivocal associations, the authors discussed the study having too little "power", as only a small number of workers (4) belonged to the highest exposure category. When one takes the

fact into account that the exposure was on average 5.7 years before, the clearly changed symptoms and performances in the group comparison speak in favour of the fact that long-term mercury-conditioned effects are involved.

Mathiesen et al. (1999) investigated former alkali chloride workers whose exposure had, on average, taken place  $12.7 \pm 11.7$  years previously. The earlier exposure to mercury was cited to be  $539 \pm 466$  nmol Hg/l urine and year  $(108 \pm 93 \text{ µg Hg/l} \text{ urine}).$ Average current urine mercury concentrations were in the range of background levels. In the group comparison (attentiveness/concentration, motor functions, memory), both neuropsychological changes as well as dose/effect relationships were shown for cumulative mercury exposures (memory, motor functions).

Kishi et al. (1994; see BAT documentation 1997) investigated workers whose exposure to mercury had been about 18 years previously. Some of the workers had suffered from intoxications and, at the time, showed concentrations of 500 to 2000 µg Hg/l urine. Although the acute poisoning symptoms had improved in the course of time, a comparison with suitable control subjects still manifested restrictions in attentiveness/concentration, motor functions, perception and constructive performance parameters. Exposure/effect relationships were found in the context of motor coordination, motor rapidity and perception.

More than 30 years after termination of the corresponding exposure periods Letz et al. (2000) were able to demonstrate relationships between former exposure levels of up to 635 µg Hg/l urine and nerve conduction velocity, tremor, and motor performance in 104 former workers. A particularly close association was found on examining the cumulative levels.

The findings of the four studies just cited show that, taking possible influencing factors due to age into account, functional restrictions produced by earlier exposures are not fully reversible even decades after termination of the exposure period.

By contrast, in the investigation performed by Cavalleri and Gobba (1998), a worsening in colour discrimination in 21 workers with mean levels of 115 µg Hg/g creatinine (138 µg Hg/l urine) in the subsequent year, was found to be fully reversible when the mean concentrations had decreased to 10  $\mu$ g Hg/g creatinine (12  $\mu$ g Hg/l urine).

#### **Longitudinal studies**

In comparison with cross sectional studies, longitudinal studies possess a greater epidemiological significance. For this reason, the results of two longitudinal studies, the contents of which had already been included in the former BAT value documentation, are here once more summarized.

In a comparison with control subjects, Günther et al. (1996) found significant correlations between symptoms, personality traits, attentiveness, memory and motor performance and mercury exposure level in 50 workers in a chloralkali electrolysis plant. They were subjected to four periods of investigations over the course of 7 years and controlled for relevant confounders. The urinary concentrations were in the range of 21 to 152 µg Hg/l. An increase in psychomotor disturbances was reported in workers with urinary concentrations of 50 to 150 µg Hg/l; a dose-effect correlation, however, could not be demonstrated.

Over a period of 2 years, Dietz et al. (1997) investigated 16 renovation workers a total of four times applying a neuropsychological test battery. Among those with a mean urinary level of  $21.5 \mu$ g Hg/l (range 1.1–80  $\mu$ g Hg/l), noticeable findings indicating

effects on the peripheral or central nervous system could only be identified in individual cases. However, no causal relationship with the internal mercury exposure could be shown. No control group was examined.

#### **Meta-analytical assessment of studies**

A meta-analysis (Meyer-Baron et al. 2002) on results from 12 articles published between 1980 and 1999 summarizes the results of psychological performance tests. Its aim was to evaluate the efficacy of the test ratings determined via at least three independent studies with identical test methods. In 9 parameters of test performances included from 6 different tests, significant effect sizes of mercury exposure were established. The results of investigations on 686 exposed and 579 control subjects were included. On examining the significant results of the meta-analyses for test performances, it was found that the mean exposures of those groups showing corresponding significant effect sizes were between 18 µg Hg/l (tests for attention) and 34 µg Hg/l (tests for visual memory and fine motor coordination). Between these values, 6 further, repeated tests (also including other psychomotor tests) were found which show agreement in their significant differences between exposed and control subjects. These results are usable as information on a lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) between 18 and 34 µg Hg/l urine on account of their at least threefold reproducible demonstration of effects.

The fact that, in this meta-analysis, studies were also included, in which the mercury levels were markedly higher (e.g. studies on industrial workers such as by Kishi et al. 1994; Mathiesen et al. 1999) or possibly higher (e.g. studies on dentists as by Ngim et al. 1992) at former points in time, is to be noted here. The meta-analysis took into account mercury concentrations at the time point of investigation. In order to counteract a possible source of error resulting from this, among others, the concentrations, of previously employed workers found in the background exposure range were not included in the calculation of the mean concentrations. An overestimation of effects which might be produced as a result of this procedure, i.e. that workers previously subjected to higher exposures but with a currently low urinary mercury concentration were included in the effect and exposure descriptions, cannot be excluded but is, however, calculable in 5 of the studies. Hence, for example, in the study by Williamson et al. (1982) past exposure of 181  $\mu$ g Hg/g creatinine contrasts with the current concentration of 133  $\mu$ g Hg/ g creatinine, whereas these values were found to be 120 µg Hg/g versus 58 µg Hg/g in the study by Piikivi et al. (1984), 23  $\mu$ g Hg/g versus 26  $\mu$ g Hg/g and 111  $\mu$ g Hg/g versus 122  $\mu$ g Hg/g, respectively, in the study by Günther et al. (1996), and 10  $\mu$ g Hg/g versus 16 µg Hg/g creatinine in the case of Ellingsen et al. (2001). The past exposure levels are thus found to be above the current levels by factors of 1.09 to 2.06. Supposing equivalence of the studies, a mean ratio of about 1.5 is calculable for the past versus the current exposure level from the selection of studies mentioned above. This estimate cannot, however, be generalized to cover all studies considered by the meta-analysis. Therefore, the mean value of the meta-analytically determined mean current exposure will be used in the summarizing evaluation.

#### **Analysis of dose-response relationships**

A summarizing analysis of the dose-response relationship of neuropsychological test results following occupational mercury exposure was carried out by Meyer-Baron et al.

(2004). It comprises the results of 18 studies dating between 1980 and 2002. Only those studies were included that presented one or more test results in each case from three functional contexts (attention, memory, psychomotor aspects). In the three functional contexts, reduced test performances were found depending on the level of exposure. Thereby a differential effect of mercury is recognizable. The strongest correlation with urinary mercury concentrations was found for motor performance; a significant, though weaker, correlation for memory, while the exposure correlation with attention functions did not achieve statistical significance.

#### **The question of an adversity of the changes described**

If the group differences described are to be classified as effects adverse to health, i.e. as no longer tolerable, will be discussed in the following.

According to the criteria for assessing results of neurobehavioural toxicology studies (DFG 1997), the adversity of a neurotoxicological finding is confirmed in cases where the extent (proven in independently determined variables), the number (repeatedly proven) and the type (proven in different classes of methods) of the effects described in individual studies argue in favour of this. These criteria are fulfilled through the results of the meta-analysis. The required concordance of effects is fulfilled because corresponding effects could be demonstrated via varying measurement methods and in studies independent of each other. The irreversibility of effects, a further criterion, was demonstrated in four studies testing psychomotoric functions (especially motor performance and memory function; Frumkin et al. 2001a; Kishi et al. 1994; Letz et al. 2000; Mathiesen et al. 1999), however for past exposures of more than 100 µg Hg/l and up to 2000 µg Hg/l urine. In addition, the meta-analysis was able to demonstrate that the effect sizes for workers previously subjected to high exposures are not significantly different to the effect sizes in workers with current mercury concentrations between 20 and 30 µg Hg/g creatinine on average. Analysis of the exposure-effect relationships also confirmed that the effects in workers with a currently low exposure are comparable with those of workers formerly highly exposed, but followed by a longer period of no exposure. These results also argue against a complete reversibility of mercury effects on mental performance parameters. On the other hand, one study exists which indicates a reversibility, however, relating to measurements of colour discrimination only (Cavalleri and Gobba 1998).

A further route of access as regards adversity considerations is found in the analogy to age-related changes in test performance as described by Seeber et al. (2002) in the case of lead. Here, the analogy is first discussed using as example a test on memory span in subjects exposed to mercury. The meta-analytically found result giving an effect size  $D^{W+} = -0.40$  in the Digit Span test (repeating numbers; functions of attention; Meyer-Baron et al. 2002) reflects a defined score difference between exposed and control subjects. This score difference corresponds to a difference of 10 years lower than that found for standard age levels in the test procedure. In other words, those subjects exposed to mean mercury concentrations of 26 µg Hg/l urine, according to the eight meta-analytically evaluated studies, show performances not corresponding with their age group. For example, an exposed person aged 45 years shows a performance corresponding to a person aged 55 years in the standard random sample (Tewes 1991). A further example: the significant effect size  $D^{W+} = -0.40$  in the Benton Visual Retention test (memory function; Meyer-Baron et al. 2002) corresponds to a mean difference of

0.6 correct responses between exposed and control subjects. This value exceeds – at normal intelligence – the difference value for age groups 10 years apart from each other (Benton 1994). In four studies, an average exposure level of 26 µg Hg/l urine has been determined for this decrease in function.

A further analogy in considering adversity can be obtained from the experience gained with the concomitant effects of alcohol. At 0.3 ‰ blood alcohol (after about 500 ml beer containing 5 % alcohol), reaction times are slowed down by at least 5 % as reported (Fuchs and Resch 1996). The effect size of 0.4 in the Digit Span test cited above corresponds to a reaction time slowed down by about 5 %, and the reduced effect size of 0.4 in the Benton Visual Retention test to a reaction time slowed down by about  $7 \frac{0}{6}$ .

#### **Summary assessment of data on neurotoxicity**

Meta-analyses investigating cross-sectional neuropsychological studies following mercury exposure have shown that, at mean current exposures between 18 and 34 µg Hg/l urine, statistically significant reductions in mental function are demonstrable compared with control subjects (Meyer-Baron et al. 2002). A dose-effect relationship has been confirmed in tests measuring the areas of motor and memory functions (Meyer-Baron et al. 2004). As regards the exposures determined, one criticism is to be raised, i.e. that, in at least some of the studies, there are indications of higher exposures in the past. The importance of these has been shown in studies in which changes in cognitive and psychomotor functions are interpreted as not being the result of current, but of past exposure (Frumkin et al. 2001a; Kishi et al. 1994; Letz et al. 2000; Mathiesen et al. 1999). No statements on the clinical relevance of the findings obtained are found in the studies mentioned.

In revising the BAT value, it is not possible to include some of the cross-sectional studies on the neurophysiological effects of mercury in industrial workers due to exposures in part above 100 µg Hg/l urine (Urban et al. 1999, 2003a), due to the questionable clinical relevance of the findings (Urban et al. 2003b) and due to the questionable clinical relevance of the findings where the former level of exposure is unclear (Lucchini et al. 2003). Nevertheless, one study is available in which workers were exposed to relatively low mercury levels over decades (Ellingsen et al. 2001). No relevant clinical changes were found in these workers at average mercury concentrations of 19 µg Hg/l urine (maximum 42 µg Hg/l) over the preceding years. A longitudinal study was able to show that psychomotor disturbances are increasingly observed in the range of 50 to 150 µg Hg/l urine (Günther et al. 1996, see BAT documentation 1997); in another longitudinal study, no indications of mercury-related psychomotor disturbances were obtained at mercury concentrations up to 80 µg Hg/l urine (Dietz et al. 1997, see BAT Documentation 1997). The data thus indicate that no clinically relevant neurotoxicological effects occur at maximum mercury concentrations of 30 µg Hg/l urine.

#### **10.2.3 Other endpoints**

#### **Immunological effects**

Experiments with rodents and in vitro investigations show that mercury compounds can have an immunomodulating activity. Thus, for example, mercury chloride and methylmercury inhibit most lymphocyte functions in animals and humans in vitro, such as proliferation, expression of cell activation markers on cell surfaces and cytokine production. These cells exhibit a greater sensitivity to methylmercury than to mercury chloride. Repeated administration of mercury chloride to rats, mice and rabbits can induce autoimmune responses and nephropathy. In contrast, however, Lewis rats injected with mercury chloride showed immunosuppression in place of autoimmune response (Moszczyński 1997, 1999). The changes are subject to control by a number of genes, and the formation of autoantibodies is controlled by MHC (see review article by Pollard and Hultman 1997).

As with the investigations in animals, more recent findings in workers yield results which are not consistent. Some of the studies indicate immunosuppressive, other studies immunostimulatory effects (Moszczyński 1997, 1999). The investigations on immunological changes published since these reviews (Barregård et al. 1997; Dantas and Queiroz 1997; Park et al. 2000; Queiroz and Dantas 1997a, b; Soleo et al. 1997, 2002; Vimercati et al. 2001) have also yielded inconsistent results (see Table 3). Furthermore, the extent of findings observed is small, and their clinical relevance is questionable. It is also not possible to exclude that in the (slight) changes observed other factors play a role, such as methylmercury taken up with the diet or other factors. The available investigations on immunological changes in workers exposed to mercury are, therefore, not suitable for deriving a BAT value.

#### **Thyroid function**

A group of 47 chloralkali workers with current levels of 5.9 nmol Hg/mmol creatinine (12.6 µg Hg/l urine) (Ellingsen et al. 2000b, Table 4), already characterized above (see Ellingsen et al. 2000a, 2001), was divided into groups with low, medium and high exposure levels as regards the level of cumulative mercury exposure. In the high exposure group (current value 10.0 nmol Hg/mmol creatinine, corresponding to a concentration of 21.3  $\mu$ g Hg/l urine), the reverse T<sub>3</sub> concentration as well as the ratio between free  $T_4$  and free  $T_3$  was increased; this especially applied to workers having low iodine concentrations in urine. The authors concluded that, particularly in the case of chloralkali workers, low urinary iodine concentrations are a risk factor for disturbances in thyroid function. In spite of this, free  $T<sub>3</sub>$  or TSH values were unchanged. There was evidence of reduced TNF- $\alpha$  concentrations in the high exposure group, which the authors attributed to the increased serum  $T_3$  level, in order to maintain a normal thyroid function. As free  $T_3$  and TSH were unchanged, these findings were not considered to be relevant in establishing a BAT value.

#### **Atherosclerosis**

A study by Salonen et al. (2000) shows an accelerated progression of carotid atherosclerosis when accumulation of mercury in the hair has reached more than 2.81 µg Hg/g. This investigation is not suitable to derive a BAT value, as the mercury concentration in urine was not determined.

#### **Enzyme activities in blood**

In workers with a exposure of  $77.44 \pm 48.15$  µg Hg/l, Zabinski et al. (2000) demonstrated changes in haematological parameters and in erythrocytic enzymes.

Queiroz et al. (1998) showed, in workers exposed to mercury with  $18.5 \pm 8.8$  (range 4.7–37.5) ug Hg/g creatinine, corresponding to  $22 \pm 11$  (range 5.6–45) ug Hg/l urine, reduced GSH and increased catalase activity; no correlation to the extent and duration of mercury exposure was found.

#### **Micronuclei**

Increased micronucleus rates were described by Queiroz et al. (1999) in 15 workers from a mercury-producing plant having  $19.3 \pm 13$  µg Hg/g creatinine (about  $23.2 \pm 16$  ug Hg/l urine). However, no correlation was found between the micronucleus rate and the duration of exposure, or the urinary mercury concentration. As control, 15 blood samples were used from a blood bank comprising subjects of the same age. Because of the inadequate characterization of the controls (absence of socioeconomic factors, smoking habits, mercury determination method), it is not possible to evaluate the significance of these results.

Increased rates of micronuclei, sister chromatid exchanges and HPRT mutations were recorded in the blood cells of 30 chloralkali workers with mean urinary concentrations of  $215 \pm 36$  µg Hg/g creatinine (about  $258 \pm 43$  µg Hg/l urine), compared with 30 control subjects of the same age and having the same socioeconomic standards (Shamy et al. 1995).

#### **Mortality**

Boffetta et al. (2001) investigated mortality data in a group of 6784 males and 265 females employed in mercury mines and mills in four countries (Italy, Spain, Slovenia and the Ukraine) between 1900 and 1990, and compared them with national reference data. Though the mercury exposure levels varied greatly, they were generally very high. Thus, workers in Spanish mines showed urinary concentrations of up to 1500 µg Hg/l between 1930 to 1960, from 1985 they were between 100 to 300 µg Hg/l in Spain and Slovenia. In mills, exposure levels of 500 to 600 µg Hg/l (Italy, Slovenia, Ukraine) or 300 µg Hg/l (Spain) were cited up to 1960. From 1980 on, the exposure levels dropped to 300 µg Hg/l, or to 100 to 150 µg Hg/l respectively. A cumulative exposure index was drawn up for every worker. Significantly increased, standardized mortality rates (SMR) were found for all cases of death (SMR 1.08, 95 % confidence interval (CI) 1.04–1.12), hypertension (SMR 1.46, 95 % CI 1.08–1.93) and "heart diseases other than ischaemic" (SMR 1.36,  $95\%$  CI 1.20–1.53). The highest SMR was found for nephritis and nephrosis (SMR 1.55, 95 % CI 1.13–2.06), which even reached 1.71 (95 % CI 1.16– 2.43) for workers in the mercury mines. The relative mortality risk in the case of nephritis or nephrosis correlated with the duration of employment but not with the cumulative exposure to mercury.

## **10.3 Selection of the indicators**

For biomonitoring a mercury exposure, a number of parameters can be taken into account, such as blood, urine, hair, fingernails, exhaled air and saliva. From the standpoint of occupational medicine, however, only the determination of mercury in blood and urine is relevant (see BAT documentation 1997).

#### **Blood**

The concentration of mercury in blood is, in the first place, influenced by the current mercury exposure as well as by the intake of organic mercury compounds (for example methylmercury from fish) (see BAT documentation 1997). This becomes particularly noticeable in an evaluation of different studies by Brune et al. (1991). Here, 98 publications dealing with normal mercury levels in blood were evaluated. The group of subjects not consuming fish showed a mean concentration of 2  $\mu$ g Hg/l, in the group eating more than four fish meals per week the mean value reached 44 µg Hg/l. In more recent investigations as well, the significant influence of taking fish meals on mercury concentrations in blood was confirmed (e.g. Langworth et al. 1997). The parameter "mercury in whole blood" is hence not specific enough to indicate an occupational exposure to inorganic and metallic mercury. From the correlation between blood mercury and urinary mercury taken as basis for the last BAT documentation, a BAT value of 30 µg Hg/l urine corresponds to a blood level of about 8 µg Hg/l. This whole blood mercury concentration is also attained by subjects with high fish consumption.

#### **Urine**

There is evidence that the elimination of mercury in the urine correlates with the mercury content in the kidneys. Assuming this, therefore, and after long-term exposure, the urine mercury concentration seems to be an indicator especially for chronic mercury exposure (see BAT documentation 1997). More recent investigations confirm this assumption.

In determining mercury exposure levels, there is also the possibility of administering chelating agents such as DMSA (dimercaptosuccinic acid) to the subjects investigated. By this means, higher mercury concentrations are measured in urine (e.g. Frumkin et al. 2001b). This method is not considered in deriving a BAT value.

## **10.4 Background exposure**

In an environmental survey conducted in 1998, the  $95<sup>th</sup>$  percentile of the mercury exposure found in the German population aged 18 to 69 years  $(n = 4741)$  was 3.3 µg Hg/l urine. Subjects without amalgam fillings ( $n = 1560$ ) were found to have a 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of their mercury exposure at 1.1 µg Hg/l urine (Becker et al. 2003; HBM 2003).

## **10.5 Evaluation of the BAT values**

#### **Mercury in blood**

The parameter "mercury in blood" is considerably influenced by the intake of organic mercury compounds (methylmercury) in the form of fish meals (Brune et al. 1991). Starting from the previously assumed correlation between blood and urinary mercury concentrations, the concentrations of 30 µg Hg/l urine in subjects without significant fish consumption would result in concentrations of about 8 µg Hg/l blood (see BAT documentation 1997). This value for mercury in blood is reached and even exceeded by subjects having high fish consumption. The parameter is therefore not specific enough to determine occupational exposures to metallic and inorganic mercury.

#### **The parameter "mercury in blood" is therefore not used further.**

#### **Mercury in urine**

The data presented above both on neurotoxicity and on nephrotoxicity indicate that – taking the  $95<sup>th</sup>$  percentile into account – no clinically relevant neurotoxic changes or relevant mercury-related nephrotoxic effects are to be expected at a concentration of 30 µg Hg/l urine.

The BAT value is therefore set at

#### **30 µg Hg/l urine.**

No fixed time for sampling.

## **10.6 Interpretation of the data investigated**

In the Federal Republic of Germany, preventive occupational medical examinations of subjects exposed to mercury are carried out in accordance with principle G9 of the trade association, in which time intervals are laid down for follow-up investigations. More frequent examinations are recommended in cases where findings are in the limit value range.

There is no fixed sampling time for blood and urine specimen to analyse mercury. However, for reasons of standardization, the specimens should be sampled at the end of a current working week.

It applies to both blood and urine that sampling must be properly performed. Attention must also be paid to selecting adequate containers for sending the material in, the preserving agents used, and correct storage conditions. On the one hand, contamination can occur due to contaminated clothing, sampling equipment or transport containers. On the other hand, losses of mercury due to absorption into container walls, reduction and evaporation, as well as due to a bacteriologically or chemically induced precipitation have been observed. Such losses can be avoided by the addition of oxidants, such as nitric acid to the urine, and storage of the samples in a deep freezing unit. Prior to analysis, the urine sample must be well shaken, as mercury can be bound up in the urinary sediment.

In cases of exposure to metallic mercury and its inorganic compounds, measurement of the urinary mercury concentration is to be preferred.

The BAT value relates to normally concentrated urine, in which the creatinine content ought to be in the range of 0.5 to 2.5 g/l. Where the urine is highly concentrated or diluted, the physician involved will have to decide whether the BAT value can be considered as being adhered to or is exceeded. As a rule, where urine samples are outside the limits quoted above, a repetition of the measurement in normally hydrated volunteers is recommended.

A correction for creatinine of the volume-related mercury measurement is at present not required, as distortions in measurement results can be expected. This is firstly due to the fact that there is, as yet, no experimentally confirmed evidence that the mode of renal excretion is equivalent for mercury and creatinine. Secondly, the analytical

determination of a creatinine concentration in urine is accompanied by measurement inaccuracies which cannot be disregarded, a fact reflected in interlaboratory tests on urine creatinine analysis. Here, it was only possible to obtain 60 % to 70 % of the values from participating laboratories within the defined tolerance range.

# **11 References**

- Abdennour C, Khelili K, Boulakoud MS, Nezzal A, Boubsil S, Slimani S (2002) Urinary markers of workers chronically exposed to mercury vapor. Environ Res 89: 245–249
- Alinovi R, Buzio L, Mozzoni P, De Palma G, Carta P, Flore C, Colombi A, Russo A, Soleo L, Mutti A (2002) Renal effects of low doses of mercury (Italian). Med Lav 93: 191–201
- Aydin N, Karaoglanoglu S, Yigit A, Keles MS, Kirpinar I, Seven N (2003) Neuropsychological effects of low mercury exposure in dental staff in Erzurum, Turkey. Int Dent J 53: 85–91
- Barregård L, Hultberg B, Schütz A, Sällsten G (1988) Enzymuria in workers exposed to inorganic mercury. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 61: 65–69
- Barregård L, Eneström S, Ljunghusen O, Wieslander J, Hultman P (1997) A study of autoantibodies and circulating immune complexes in mercury-exposed chloralkali workers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 70: 101–106
- Becker K, Schulz C, Kaus S, Seiwert M, Seifert B (2003) German Environmental Survey 1998 (GerES III): Environmental pollutants in the urine of the German population. Int J Hyg Environ Health 206: 15–24
- Benton AL (1994) Der Benton-Test. Handbuch 6. Aufl., deutsche Bearbeitung O. Spreen, Verlag Hans Huber, Bern, Göttingen
- Bittner Jr AC, Echeverria D, Woods JS, Aposhian HV, Nalewauy C, Martin MD, Mahurin RK, Heyer NJ, Cianciola M (1998) Behavioral effects of low-level exposure to HgO among dental professionals: a cross-study evaluation of psychomotor effects. Neurotoxicol Teratol 20: 429– 439
- Boffetta P, Sallsten G, Garcia-Gomez M, Pompe-Kirn V, Zaridze D, Bulbulyan M, Caballero JD, Ceccarelli F, Kobal AB, Merler E (2001) Mortality from cardiovascular diseases and exposure to inorganic mercury. Occup Environ Med 58: 461–466
- Buchet JP, Roels H, Bernard A, Lauwerys R (1980) Assessment of renal function of workers exposed to inorganic lead, cadmium or mercury vapor. J Occup Med 22: 741–750
- Brune D, Nordberg GF, Vesterberg O, Gerhardsson L, Wester PO (1991) A review of normal concentrations of mercury in human blood. Sci Total Environ 100: 235–282
- Camerino D, Buratti M, Rubino FM, Somaruga C, Belluigi V, Bordiga A, Bordini L, Maraschi R, Molinari M, Colosio C, Soleo L, Colombi A (2002) Evaluation of the neurotoxic and nephrotoxic effects following long-term exposure to metallic mercury in employers at a chlorine/sodium-hydroxide plant (Italian). Med Lav 93: 238–250
- Cárdenas A, Roels H, Bernard AM, Barbon R, Buchet JP, Lauwerys RR, Rosello J, Hotter G, Mutti A, Franchini I, Fels LM, Stolte H, de Broe ME, Nuyts GD, Taylor SA, Price RG (1993) Markers of early renal changes induced by industrial pollutants. I. Application to workers exposed to mercury vapour. Br J Ind Med 50: 17–27
- Cavalleri A, Gobba F (1998) Reversible color vision in occupational exposure to metallic mercury. Environ Res 77: 173–177
- Dantas DC, Queiroz ML (1997) Immunoglobulin E and autoantibodies in mercury-exposed workers. Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol 19: 383–392

- DFG (1997) Verhaltenstoxikologie und MAK-Grenzwertfestlegungen. Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Ad-hoc-Arbeitsgruppe "Verhaltenstoxikologie" der Senatskommission zur Prüfung gesundheitsschädlicher Arbeitsstoffe, Wissenschaftliche Arbeitspapiere (in German), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim
- Dietz MC, Ihrig A, Lichtnecker H, Sladeczek C, Sladeczek F, Triebig G (1997) Längsschnittuntersuchungen zur Quecksilberbelastung und zu neurotoxischen Beanspruchungsreaktionen bei Sanierungsarbeitern. Arbeitsmed Sozialmed Umweltmed 32: 342– 348
- Echeverria D, Aposhian HV, Woods JS, Heyer NJ, Aposhian MM, Bittner Jr AC, Mahurin RK, Cianciola M (1998) Neurobehavioral effects from exposure to dental amalgam  $Hg^0$ : new distinctions between recent exposure and Hg body burden. FASEB J 12: 971–980
- Ehrenberg RL, Vogt RL, Smith AB, Brondum J, Brightwell WS, Hudson PJ, McManus KP, Hannon WH, Phipps FC (1991) Effects of elemental mercury exposure at a thermometer plant. Am J Ind Med 19: 495–507
- Ellingsen DG, Efskind J, Berg KJ, Gaarder PI, Thomassen Y (2000a) Renal and immunologic markers for chloralkali workers with low exposure to mercury vapor. Scand J Work Environ Health 26: 427–435
- Ellingsen DG, Efskind J, Haug E, Thomassen Y, Martinsen I, Gaarder PI (2000b) Effects of low mercury vapour exposure on the thyroid function in chloralkali workers. J Appl Toxicol 20: 483–489
- Ellingsen DG, Bast-Pettersen R, Efskind J, Thomassen Y (2001) Neuropsychological effects of low mercury vapor exposure in choralkali workers. Neurotoxicology 22: 249–258
- El-Safty IAM, Shouman AE, Amin NE (2003) Nephrotoxic effects of mercury exposure and smoking among Egyptian workers in a fluorescent lamp factory. Arch Med Res 34: 50–55
- Factor-Litvak P, Hasselgren G, Jacobs D, Begg M, Kline J, Geier J, Mervish N, Schoenholtz S, Graziano J (2003) Mercury derived from dental amalgams and neuropsychologic function. Environ Health Perspect 111: 719–723
- Foà V, Caimi L, Amante L, Antonini C, Gattinoni A, Tettamanti G, Lombardo A, Giuliani A (1976) Patterns of some lysosomal enzymes in the plasma and of proteins in urine of workers exposed to inorganic mercury. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 37: 115–124
- Frumkin J, Letz R, Williams PL, Gerr F, Pierce M, Sanders A, Elon L, Manning CC, Woods JS, Hertzberg VS, Mueller P, Taylor BB (2001a) Health effects of long-term mercury exposure among chloralkali plant workers. Am J Ind Med 39: 1–18
- Frumkin H; Manning CC; Williams PL; Sanders A; Taylor BB, Pierce M; Elon L, Hertzberg VS (2001b) Diagnostic chelation challenge with DMSA: A biomarker of long-term mercury exposure? Environ Health Perspect 109: 167–171
- Fuchs R, Resch M (eds) (1996) Alkohol und Arbeitssicherheit. Verlag Hogrefe, Göttingen, Bern
- Greim H (ed) (1999) Quecksilber und anorganische Quecksilberverbindungen. Gesundheitsschädliche Arbeitsstoffe, Toxikologisch-arbeitsmedizinische Begründungen von MAK-Werten, 28.. Lieferung (German), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim.
- Günther W, Sietman B, Seeber A (1996) Repeated neurobehavioral investigations in workers exposed to mercury in a chloralkali plant. Neurotoxicology 17: 605–614
- HBM (Kommission "Human-Biomonitoring") (2003) Aktualisierung der Referenzwerte für Blei, Cadmium, und Quecksilber im Blut und im Urin von Erwachsenen – Stellungnahme der Kommission "Human-Biomonitoring" des Umweltbundesamtes (German). Bundesgesundheitsbl Gesundheitsforsch Gesundheitsschutz: 1112–1113
- Himeno S, Watanabe C, Suzuki T (1986) Urinary biochemical changes in workers exposed to mercury vapor. Ind Med 24: 151–155
- Jonsson F, Sandborgh-Englund G, Johanson G (1999) A compartmental model for the kinetics of mercury vapor in humans. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 155: 161–168
- Kishi R, Doi R, Fukuchi Y, Satoh H, Ono A, Moriwaka F, Tashiro K, Takahata N, Sasatani H, Shirakashi H, Kamada T, Nakagawa D (1994) Residual neurobehavioural effects associated with chronic exposure to mercury vapor. Occup Environ Med 51: 35–41
- Kolenič J, Molčanyiová A, Petrovičová J (1994) Proteinuria after exposure to metal mercury Hg (Polish). Pracov Lek 46: 262–264
- Kolenič J, Zimáček J, Petrovičová J, Žemberová E, Macháňová Y, Jurgová T (1997) Beta-2 microglobulin – biological marker of the toxic effects of metals (Polish). Pracov Lek 49: 109– 112
- Langworth S, Sällsten G, Barregård L, Cynkier I, Lind ML, Söderman E (1997) Exposure to mercury vapor and impact on health in the dental profession in Sweden. J Dent Res 76: 1397– 1404
- Letz R, Gerr F, Cragle D, Green RC, Watkins J, Fidler AT (2000) Residual neurologic deficits 30 years after occupational exposure to elemental mercury. Neurotoxicology 21: 459–474
- Lucchini R, Cortesi I, Facco P, Benedetti L, Camerino D, Carta P, Urbano ML, Zaccheo A, Alessio L (2002) Effetti neurotossici da esposizione a basse dosi di mercurio (Neurotoxic effect of exposure to low doses of mercury) (Italian). Med Lav 93: 202–214
- Lucchini R, Calza S, Camerino D, Carta P, Decarli A, Parrinello G, Soleo L, Zefferino R, Alessio L (2003) Application of a latent variable model for a multicenter study on early effects due to mercury exposure. Neurotoxicology 24: 605–616
- Marek K, Wocka-Marek T (1994) Beta-N-acetylglucosaminidase in urine as a sign of kidney damage in workers exposed to metallic mercury. Med Pracy 45: 101–105
- Mathiesen T, Ellingsen DG, Kjuus H (1999) Neuropsychological effects associated with exposure to mercury vapor among former chloralkali workers. Scand J Work Environ Health 25: 342– 350
- Meyer-Baron M, Schaeper M, Seeber A (2002) A meta-analysis for neurobehavioural results due to occupational mercury exposure. Arch Toxicol 76: 127–136
- Meyer-Baron M, Schaeper M, Van Thriel C, Seeber A (2004) Neurobehavioral test results and exposure to inorganic mercury: in search of dose-response relations. Arch Toxicol 78: 207–211
- Mortada WL, Sobh MA, El-Defrawy MM, Farahat SE (2002) Mercury in dental restoration: is there a risk of nephrotoxicity? J Nephrol 15: 171–176
- Moszczyński P (1997) Mercury compounds and the immune system: a review. Int J Occup Med Environ Health 10: 247–258
- Moszczyński P (1999) Immunological disorders in men exposed to metallic mercury vapour. A review. Cent Eur J Public Health 7: 10–14
- Ngim CH, Foo SC, Boey KW, Jeyaratnam J (1992) Chronic neurobehavioural effects of elemental mercury in dentists. Br J Ind Med 49: 782–790
- Park SH, Araki S, Nakata A, Kim YH, Park JA, Tanigawa T, Yokoyama K, Sato H (2000) Effects of occupational metallic mercury vapour exposure on suppressor-inducer (CD4+CD45RA+) T lymphocytes and CD57+CD16+ natural killer cells. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 73: 537– 542
- Piikivi L, Ruokonen A (1989) Renal function and long-term low mercury vapor exposure. Arch Environ Health 44: 146–149
- Piikivi L, Haenninen H, Martelin T, Mantere P (1984) Psychological performance and long-term exposure to mercury vapors. Scand J Work Environ Health 10: 35–41
- Pollard KM, Hultman P (1997) Effects of mercury on the immune system. Met Ions Biol Syst 34: 421–440
- Price RG, Taylor SA, Chivers I, Arce-Toms M, Crutcher E, Franchini I, Alinovi R, Cavazzini S, Bergamaschi E, Mutti A, Vettori MV, Lauwerys R, Bernard A, Kabnada A, Roels H, Thielemans N, Hotz P, De Broe ME, Elseviers MM, Nuyts GD, Gelpi E, Hotter G, Rosello J, Ramis I, Stolte H, Fels LM, Eisenberger U (1996) Development and validation of new screening tests for nephrotoxic effects. Hum Exp Toxicol 15, Suppl 1: S10–S19

- Queiroz ML, Dantas DC (1997a) B lymphocytes in mercury-exposed workers. Pharmacol Toxicol 81: 130–133
- Queiroz ML, Dantas DC (1997b) T lymphocytes in mercury-exposed workers. Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol 19: 499–510
- Queiroz ML, Pena SC, Salles TS, de Capitani EM, Saad ST (1998) Abnormal antioxidant system in erythrocytes of mercury-exposed workers. Hum Exp Toxicol 17: 225–30
- Queiroz ML, Bincoletto C, Quadros MR, de Capitani EM (1999) Presence of micronuclei in lymphocytes of mercury exposed workers. Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol 21: 141–150
- Ritchie KA, Gilmour WH, Macdonald EB, Burke FJT, McGowan DA, Dale IM, Hammersley R, Hamilton RM, Binnie V, Collington D (2002) Health and neuropsychological functioning of dentists exposed to mercury. Occup Environ Med 59: 287–293
- Roels HA (2002) Controlling the risk of nephrotoxicity in men occupationally exposed to inorganic mercury, lead, or cadmium through monitoring biomarkers of exposure. Arch Public Health 60: 203–215
- Roels HA, Hoet P, Lison D (1999) Usefulness of biomarkers of exposure to inorganic mercury, lead, or cadmium in controlling occupational and environmental risks of nephrotoxicity. Ren Fail 21: 251–262
- Rojas M, Guevara H, Rincon R, Rodriguez M, Olivet C (2000) Occupational exposure and health effects of metallic mercury among dentists and dental assistants: a preliminary study. Valencia, Venezuela; 1998 (Spanish). Acta Cient Venez 51: 32–38
- Salonen JT, Seppanen K, Lakka TA, Salonen R, Kaplan GA (2000) Mercury accumulation and accelerated progression of carotid atherosclerosis: a population-based prospective 4-year follow-up study in men in eastern Finland. Atherosclerosis 148: 265–273
- Sandborgh-Englund G, Elinder CG, Johanson G, Lind B, Skare I, Ekstrand J (1998) The absorption, blood levels, and excretion of mercury after a single dose of mercury vapor in humans. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 150: 146–153
- Seeber A, Meyer-Baron M, Schäper M (2002) A summary of two meta-analyses on neurobehavioural effects due to occupational lead exposure. Arch Toxicol 76: 137–145
- Shamy MY, El-Gazzar RM, Taleb AN, Christie NT, El Said KF (1995) Somatic cell mutation in workers occupationally exposed to mercury vapors. J Environ Pathol Toxicol Oncol 14: 165– 171
- Soleo L, Vacca A, Vimercati L, Bruno S, Di Loreto M, Zocchetti C, Di Stefano R, Candilio G, Lasorsa G, Franco G, Foa V (1997) Minimal immunological effects on workers with prolonged low exposure to inorganic mercury. Occup Environ Med 54: 437–442
- Soleo L, Colosio C, Alinovi R, Guarneri D, Russo A, Lovreglio P, Vimercati L, Birindelli S, Cortesi I, Flore C, Carta P, Colombi A, Parrinello G, Ambrosi L (2002) Immunologic effects of exposure to low levels of inorganic mercury (Italian). Med Lav 93: 225–232
- Taylor SA, Chivers ID, Price RG, Arce-Tomas M, Milligan P, Francini I, Alinovi R, Cavazzini S, Bergamaschi E, Vittori M, Mutti A, Lauwerys RR, Bernard AM, Roels HA, De Broe ME, Nuyts GD, Elseviers MM, Hotter G, Ramis I, Rosello J, Gelpi E, Stolte H, Eisenberger U, Fels LM (1997) The assessment of biomarkers to detect nephrotoxicity using an integrated database. Environ Res 75: 23–33
- Tewes U (ed) (1991) HAWIE-R. Hamburg-Wechsler Intelligenztest für Erwachsene (German). Huber, Bern
- Urban P, Lukas E, Nerudova J, Cabelkova Z, Cikrt M (1999) Neurological and electrophysiological examinations on three groups of workers with different levels of exposure to mercury vapors. Eur J Neurol 6: 571–577
- Urban P, Nerudova J, Cabelkova Z, Krajca V, Lukas E, Cikrt M (2003a) EEG photic driving in workers exposed to mercury vapors. Neurotoxicology 24: 23–33
- Urban P, Gobba F, Nerudova J, Lukas E, Cabelkova Z, Cikrt M (2003b) Color discrimination impairment in workers exposed to mercury vapor. Neurotoxicology 24: 711–716
- Vimercati L, Santarelli L, Pesola G, Drago I, Lasorsa G, Valentino M, Vacca A, Soleo L (2001) Monocyte-macrophage system and polymorphonuclear leukocytes in workers exposed to low levels of metallic mercury. Sci Total Environ 270: 157–163
- Wedeen RP, Udasin I, Fiedler N, D'Haese P, De Broe M, Gelpi E, Jones KW, Gochfeld M (1999) Urinary biomarkers as indicators of renal disease. Ren Fail 21: 241–249
- Williamson A, Teo R, Sanderson T (1982) Occupational mercury exposure and its consequences for behaviour. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 50: 273–286
- Zabinski Z, Dabrowski Z, Moszczynski P, Rutowski J (2000) The activity of erythrocyte enzymes and basic indices of peripheral blood erythrocytes from workers chronically exposed to mercury vapours. Toxicol Ind Health 16: 58–64

Zalups RK (2000) Molecular interactions with mercury in the kidney. Pharmacol Rev 52: 113– 143

Authors: H.M. Bolt, M. Meyer-Baron, U. Reuter, K. H. Schaller, A. Seeber, G. Triebig Approved by the Working Group: 8 November 2004

| Author                  | Number, collective,<br>exposure duration |   | Current Hg<br>concentration in urine<br>$(Hg-U)$   | Findings  |  |
|-------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Mortada<br>et al. 2002  | 49                                       | healthy sub-<br>jects with<br>amalgam<br>fillings,<br>31.0 years old,<br>non-smokers    | $1.8 \pm 0.5$ µg/g creatinine NAG:<br>$(2.2 \pm 0.6 \,\mu\text{g/l})$                      | $3.0 \pm 1.2$ U/g creatinine<br>$(+$ amalgam $)$<br>$2.2 \pm 0.5$ U/g creatinine<br>$(-$ amalgam $)$<br>$\gamma$ -GT:<br>$32.9 \pm 9.9$ U/g creatinine                  |  |
|                         | 52                                       | healthy sub-<br>jects without<br>amalgam<br>fillings,<br>31.8 years old,<br>non-smokers | $0.5 \pm 0.2$ µg/g creatinine (+ amalgam)<br>$(0.6 \pm 0.3 \,\mu g/l)$                     | $24.0 \pm 7.0$ U/g creatinine<br>$(-$ amalgam $)$<br>albumin:<br>$6.7 \pm 1.7$ mg/g creatinine<br>$(+$ amalgam $)$<br>$3.2 \pm 2.2$ mg/g creatinine<br>$(-$ amalgam $)$ |  |
| Rojas<br>et al. 2000    | 22                                       | dentists,<br>43.5 years old<br>exposed for<br>$16.5 \pm 9.1$ years                      | $22.4 \pm 6.4 \,\mu g/g$<br>creatinine<br>$(26.9 \pm 7.7 \,\mu g/l)$                       | NAG: no statistically significant<br>difference:<br>$2.9 \pm 3.0$ (0-32.5) U/l (dentists)<br>$5.2 \pm 8.1$ U/l (assistants)   |  |
|                         | 15                                       | assistants,<br>43.5 years old,<br>exposed for<br>$14.7 \pm 6.2$ years                   | $22.2 \pm 6.1 \,\mu g/g$<br>creatinine<br>$(26.6 \pm 7.3 \,\mu g/l)$                       |   |  |
| Alinovi<br>et al. 2002  | 122                                      | workers,<br>40.2 years old,<br>exposure<br>duration not<br>specified                    | $8.1 \pm 1.9$ (2.3–35.0)<br>$\mu$ g/g creatinine<br>$[9.7 \pm 2.3]$<br>$(2.8-42) \mu g/l$  | no significant effect on renal<br>parameters (NAG, albumin, $\beta_2M$ ,<br>RBP, 3 brush-border antigens,<br>fibronectin)   |  |
|                         | 197                                      | controls,<br>38.7 years old   | $1.1 \pm 2.6$ (0.1–5.9) $\mu$ g/g<br>creatinine<br>$[1.3 \pm 3.1]$<br>$(0.1-7.1) \mu g/I$  |   |  |
| Camerino<br>et al. 2002 | 38                                       | workers<br>(chloralkali),<br>38.6 years old,<br>exposed for<br>$13 \pm 10.5$ years      | $11.9 \pm 8.1$ (2-35) $\mu$ g/g<br>creatinine<br>$[14.3 \pm 9.7]$<br>$(2.4-42) \mu g/l$    | no significant effect on renal<br><b>parameters</b> (NAG, albumin, $\beta_2M$ ,<br>RBP, 3 different brush-border<br>antigens, fibronectin)                              |  |
|                         | 34                                       | controls,<br>50.6 years old   | $4.1 \pm 5.9$ (1-33) $\mu$ g/g<br>creatinine<br>$[4.9 \pm 7.1 (1.2 - 40) \,\mu\text{g/l}]$ |   |  |

**Table 1.** Nephrotoxic effects after occupational exposure to inorganic mercury

| Author                     |    | Number, collective,<br>exposure duration  | Findings<br>Current Hg<br>concentration in urine<br>$(Hg-U)$                                    |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|----|---|---|--|--|--|
| Ellingsen<br>et al. 2000 a | 47 | workers<br>(chloralkali),<br>42.0 years old,<br>exposed for 13.3<br>$(2.8 - 34.5)$ years,<br>53 % smokers,<br>3.9 l ethanol/a | $5.9(1.1-16.8)$<br>nmol/mmol creatinine<br>$[12.5 (2.3-35.7) \mu g/l]$                          | <b>NAG</b> : statistically significant<br>difference:<br>$0.18 \pm 0.09$ U/mmol creatinine<br>(exposed)<br>$0.14 \pm 0.10$ U/mmol creatinine<br>(controls)<br>other renal parameters in urine<br>(e.g.: albumin, $\beta_2M$ , IAP, GAG,<br>Kal) and serum not changed;                         |  |  |
|                            | 47 | controls,<br>41.9 years old,<br>34 % smokers,<br>3.9 l ethanol/a  | $1.3$ (0.2–5.0) nmol/<br>mmol creatinine<br>[2.8 $(0.4-10.6) \mu g/l$ ]                         | however, after stepwise multiple<br>linear regression significant changes<br>in autoantibodies against<br>myeloperoxidase and proteinase 3   |  |  |
| El-Safty<br>et al. 2003    | 27 | workers (NS),<br>$32.9 \pm 5.1$ years creatinine<br>$7.89 \pm 2.0$ years  | $25.6 \pm 19.3 \,\mu g/g$<br>old, exposed for $(30.7 \pm 23.2 \,\mu g/l)$                       | non-smokers (NS)<br>total protein:<br>$152 \pm 5.44$ mg/g creatinine<br>$(> 11$ a exp.)  |  |  |
|                            | 20 | workers (NS),<br>$39.5 \pm 8.5$ years creatinine<br>old, exposed<br>for 17.85<br>$\pm$ 8.46 years                             | $21.4 \pm 15.9 \,\mu g/g$<br>$(25.7 \pm 19.1 \,\mu g/l)$  | $131 \pm 16.0$ mg/g creatinine<br>$(< 10$ a exp.)<br>$75.3 \pm 15.3$ mg/g creatinine<br>(controls)<br><b>RBP:</b>  |  |  |
|                            | 36 | controls (NS),<br>$35.6 \pm 9.5$ years creatinine<br>old  | $0.57 \pm 0.42 \,\mu g/g$<br>$(0.8 \pm 0.5 \,\mu g/l)$  | 22.1 $\pm$ 3.8 µg/g creatinine<br>$(> 11$ a exp.)<br>$14.4 \pm 2.6 \,\mu g/g$ creatinine<br>$(< 10$ a exp.)  |  |  |
|                            | 34 | workers (S)<br>$33.6 \pm 5.6$ years creatinine<br>$8.79 \pm 1.97$ years   | $17.3 \pm 5.22 \,\mu g/g$<br>old, exposed for $(20.7 \pm 6.4 \,\mu g/l)$                        | $6.9 \pm 2.5 \,\mu g/g$ creatinine (controls)<br>LAP:<br>$18.7 \pm 5.3$ U/g creatinine<br>$($ >11 a exp.)  |  |  |
|                            | 31 | $42.1 \pm 8.3$ years creatinine<br>$19.2 \pm 7.56$ years  | <b>workers (S)</b> $28.2 \pm 21.4 \,\mu g/g$<br>old, exposed for $(33.8 \pm 25.7 \text{ µg/l})$ | $13.0 \pm 2.4$ U/g creatinine<br>$($ < $10$ a exp.)<br>$6.6 \pm 1.8$ U/g creatinine (controls)<br>GST:<br>$8.8 \pm 1.4$ µmol/min/mg creatinine   |  |  |
|                            | 51 | controls $(S)$ ,<br>$44.0 \pm 10.8$<br>years old  | $0.62 \pm 0.44 \text{ µg/g}$<br>creatinine<br>$(0.7 \pm 0.5 \,\mu g/l)$                         | $(> 11$ a exp.)<br>$7.8 \pm 1.4$ µmol/min/mg creatinine<br>$(< 10$ a exp.)<br>$-(controls)$<br>NAG:<br>$19.1 \pm 4.1$ nmol/mg creatinine<br>$(> 11$ a exp.)<br>$11.8 \pm 1.9$ nmol/mg creatinine<br>$(< 10$ a exp.)<br>$6.9 \pm 2.1$ nmol/mg creatinine<br>data of smokers (S) see publication |  |  |

**Table 1.** (continued)

### **Table 1.** (continued)







Abbreviations: NAG: N-acetyl-β,D-glucosaminidase; β<sub>2</sub>M: β<sub>2</sub>-microglobulin; γ-GT: γ-glutamyl transpeptidase;  $BB_{50}$  and  $BBA$ : brush-border antigens;  $GAG$ : glycosaminoglycanes;  $\overline{GST}$ : glutathione transferase; HF5: brush-border antigen; IAP: intestinal alkaline phosphatase; Kal: kallikrein; LAP: leucine aminopeptidase; PGE<sub>2</sub>,: prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub>; PGF<sub>2α</sub>: prostaglandin F<sub>2α</sub>; RBP: retinol-binding protein; THP: Tamm-Horsfall glycoprotein; TXB<sub>2</sub>: thromboxane B<sub>2</sub>; exp.: exposed

**Table 2.** Neurological and neuropsychological effects after occupational exposure to inorganic mercury (arranged according to increasing mercury exposure)

| Author                       |     | Number, collective,<br>exposure duration   | Hg concentration in<br>urine $(Hg-U)$   | Findings  |
|------------------------------|-----|--|---|---|
| Echeverria 48<br>et al. 1998 |     | dental medical<br>personnel;<br>on average<br>49 years old,<br>exposure<br>duration not<br>specified                     | $current Hg-U:$<br>$0.89 \pm 0.51 \,\mu g/l$<br>(dentists),<br>$1.07 \pm 0.93 \,\mu g/l$<br>(assistants)  | questionnaire symptoms,<br>questionnaire mood,<br>10 neuropsychological tests;<br>dose-response relationship:<br>questionnaire mood (sum of all mood<br>scales, tension, anger, fatigue,<br>confusion, depression),<br>2/5 motor function tests,<br>3/4 attention tests,<br>1/1 memory test |
| Aydin<br>et al. 2003         | 43  | dental medical<br>personnel,<br>median age:<br>32 years;<br>exposure<br>duration not<br>specified                        | $current Hg-U:$<br>mmol creatinine<br>$[2.5 (0.02 - 7.2) \,\mu g/l]$  | questionnaire symptoms,<br>$1.17(0.01-3.39)$ nmol/ personality questionnaire,<br>4 neuropsychological Tests;<br>unfavourable values at group<br>comparison:<br>symptoms;  |
|                              | 43  | controls,<br>median age:<br>31 years<br>(comparable or<br>older, education,<br>alcohol, fish<br>consumption,<br>smoking) | $current Hg-U:$<br>$0.64(0.01-2.76)$<br>nmol/mmol creatinine<br>$[1.4 (0.02 - 5.9) \mu g/l]$  | reduced performance at group<br>comparison:<br>1/3 memory tests;<br>dose-response relationship:<br>1/3 memory tests;<br>symptoms (anger, psychosis)   |
| Ritchie<br>et al. 2002       | 162 | dentists,  | $current Hg-U$ :<br>$39.3 \pm 9.7$ years $2.58 \pm 2.76$ nmol/<br>old, exposed for mmol creatinine<br>$15.6 \pm 9.5$ years (about $5.5 \pm 5.9$ µg/l) | questionnaire diseases,<br>questionnaire symptoms,<br>6 neuropsychological tests;<br>unfavourable values at group   |
|                              | 163 | controls,<br>old<br>(significantly<br>younger than<br>dentists)  | $current Hg-U:$<br>$32.1 \pm 9.7$ years $0.67 \pm 0.68$ nmol/<br>mmol creatinine<br>(about $1.4 \pm 1.4 \,\mu g/l$ )                                  | comparison:<br>diseases (kidneys),<br>symptoms (retentivity);<br>reduced performance at group<br>comparison:<br>1/3 attention tests,<br>1/3 memory tests<br>dose-response relationship:<br>1/3 memory tests   |

| Author                        | Number, collective,<br>exposure duration |   | Hg concentration in<br>$urine$ (Hg-U)   | Findings   |  |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Langworth 44<br>et al. 1997   |  | dental medical<br>personnel;<br>median age:<br>43 years;<br>exposed for<br>20 years<br>$(8-35 \text{ years})$       | $current Hg-U:$<br>$3.0 \pm 2.3$ nmol/mmol<br>creatinine<br>$(6.4 \pm 4.9 \,\mu g/l)$   | questionnaire symptoms,<br>questionnaire complaints,<br>personality questionnaire,<br>questionnaire mood;<br>unfavourable values at group<br>comparison:<br>questionnaire complaints,  |  |
|                               | 44                                       | controls, (of<br>comparable sex,<br>age, and<br>education)<br>median age:<br>45 years                               | $current Hg-U:$<br>$2.3 \pm 1.6$ nmol/mmol<br>creatinine<br>$(4.9 \pm 3.4 \text{ µg/l})$  | questionnaire mood (anger);<br>no dose-response relationship   |  |
| Urban<br>et al. 1999          | 36                                       | dentists,<br>41 years old,<br>exposed for<br>14 years   | $current Hg-U$ :<br>13.2 $\mu$ g/24 h ( $\approx \mu$ g/l)  | EEG measurement;<br>reduced performance at individual and<br>group comparison:<br>visual evoked potential;   |  |
|                               | 46                                       | controls,<br>36 years old   | current Hg-U:<br>0.8 $\mu$ g/24 h ( $\approx \mu$ g/l)  | dose-response relationship:<br>1/5 neurophysiological variables  |  |
| <b>Bittner</b><br>et al. 1998 | 230                                      | dentists<br>(from 6 studies), $7\% > 55 \mu g/l$<br>$50 \pm 12$ years<br>old, exposure<br>duration not<br>specified | current $Hg-U$ :<br>93 % < $55 \mu g/l$   | 4 neuropsychological tests,<br>tremor measurement;<br>dose-response relationship:<br>1/3 motor function tests  |  |
| Ellingsen<br>et al. 2001      | 47                                       | workers<br>(chloralkali),<br>$42(24-67)$<br>years old,<br>53 % smokers,<br>exposed for<br>13.3 years                | $current Hg-U:$<br>$5.9(1.1-16.8)$<br>nmol/mmol creatinine<br>$[12.6 (2.3-36) \mu g/l]$<br>cumulative Hg-U:<br>123 (14.5-491)<br>nmol/mmol creatinine<br>[261 (31-1044) $\mu$ g/l]<br>mean Hg-U/year:<br>$9.0(4.0-19.6)$<br>nmol/mmol creatinine<br>$[19 (8.5 - 42) \mu g/l]$ | questionnaire complaints<br>9 neuropsychological tests;<br>no reduced performance at group<br>comparison;<br>dose-response relationship (for mean<br>Hg-U/year):<br>1/3 attention tests;<br>dose-response relationship (for<br>$Hg-B$ :<br>$1/3$ attention tests,<br>$1/1$ memory test |  |

**Table 2.** (continued)

#### **Table 2.** (continued)



| Author                      |     | Number, collective,<br>exposure duration   | Hg concentration in<br>urine $(Hg-U)$   | Findings   |
|-----------------------------|-----|--|---|--|
| Urban et al. 24<br>2003 a   |     | workers<br>(chloralkali),<br>$42 \pm 9.8$ years<br>old, exposed<br>for<br>$15 \pm 9.7$ years   | $current Hg-U:$<br>$64.3 \pm 59.9 \text{ µg}/24 \text{ h}$<br>$(\approx \mu g/l)$ assuming an<br>excretion of 1 l urine<br>in 24 h) after<br>administration of a<br>chelating agent | EEG measurement;<br>reduced performance at group<br>comparison:<br>reaction to light stimuli;<br>dose-response relationship (für<br>cumulative exposure, $p \le 0.10$ :  |
|                             | 24  | controls,<br>$36 \pm 11$ years<br>old  |   | reaction to light stimuli  |
| Urban et al. 36<br>1999     |     | workers<br>(chloralkali),<br>39 years old,<br>exposed for<br>9 years   | $current Hg-U:$<br>129 μg/24 h ( $\approx$ μg/l)  | EEG measurement,<br>measurement of nerve conduction<br>velocity;<br>reduced performance at group   |
|                             | 77  | workers<br>("Hg"),<br>39 years old,<br>exposed for<br>9 years  | current $Hg-U$ :<br>840 μg/24 h ( $\approx$ μg/l)   | comparison:<br>visual evoked potential;<br>dose-response relationship (for<br>excreted mercury):<br>visual evoked potential  |
|                             | 46  | controls,<br>36 years old  | $current Hg-U:$<br>0.8 $\mu$ g/24 h ( $\approx \mu$ g/l)  |  |
| Frumkin<br>et al.<br>2001 a | 147 | former<br>workers<br>(chloralkali),<br>$49.5 \pm 13.0$<br>years old,<br>exposure<br>duration not<br>specified<br>no longer been<br>exposed during<br>the previous<br>$5.7 \pm 6.5$ years | current Hg-U:<br>$3.42 \pm 2.54 \text{ µg/l}$<br>Hg-U 1988-1991:<br>$72$ (13-173) $\mu$ g/l   | questionnaire symptoms,<br>11 neuropsychological tests,<br>colour vision,<br>tremor measurement,<br>body coordination,<br>neurological investigation;<br>reduced performance at group<br>comparison:<br>$1/2$ memory tests,<br>2/2 motor function tests,<br>tremor measurement;  |
|                             | 132 | controls,<br>$49.5 \pm 13.0$<br>years old  | current $Hg-U$ :<br>$3.12 \pm 2.48 \,\mu g/l$   | reduced performance at group<br>comparison:<br>nerve conduction velocity,<br>vibration sense,<br>tremor,<br>symptoms (dizziness, loss of balance,<br>difficulty concentrating, confusion,<br>memory trouble, irritability,<br>depression, sleep disturbances,<br>difficulty grasping),<br>2/2 motor function tests,<br>1/2 memory tests; |

**Table 2.** (continued)

#### **Table 2.** (continued)



| Author                         | Number, collective,<br>exposure duration |  | Hg concentration in<br>urine $(Hg-U)$   | Findings  |  |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|
|                                | 101                                      | controls,<br>$71.1 \pm 6.6$<br>years old<br>(comparable<br>with regard to<br>age, income,<br>alcohol, BMI) | not specified   | dose-response relationship (for<br>cumulative Hg-U):<br>2/8 parameters of nerve conductivity,<br>1/3 motor function tests;<br>dose-response relationship (during<br>exposure):<br>1/8 parameters of nerve conductivity,<br>tremor measurement |  |
| Cavalleri<br>and Gobba<br>1998 | 21                                       | workers,<br>$26.5 \pm 6.6$<br>years old,<br>exposed for<br>$115.8 \pm 71$<br>months                        | Hg-U 1994:<br>$115 \pm 62 \,\mu g/g$<br>creatinine<br>$(138 \pm 74 \text{ µg/l})$<br>Hg-U 1995:<br>$10 \pm 7.6 \,\mu g/g$<br>creatinine<br>$(12 \pm 9 \,\mu g/l)$ | colour vision;<br>reduced performance at group<br>comparison 1994:<br>colour vision;<br>no reduced performance at group<br>comparison 1995  |  |
|                                | 21                                       | controls,<br>$26.7 \pm 6.5$<br>years old<br>(comparable<br>with regard to<br>age, smoking,<br>alcohol)     | not specified   |   |  |

**Table 2.** (continued)



**Table 3.** Immunologic effects after occupational exposure to inorganic mercury



**Table 3.** (contributed)

Abbreviations: CD: cluster of differentiation (cell surface antigens); Ig: immune globulin; IL: interleukin; NK cells: natural killer cells; TNF-α: tumour necrosis factor α

| Author                        | Number, collective,<br>exposure duration |   | Hg concentration in<br>urine $(Hg-U)$  | Findings  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Ellingsen<br>et al.<br>2000 b | 47                                       | workers<br>(chloralkali),<br>42 (24-67) years<br>old, exposed for<br>$13.3(2.8-35)$<br>years  | $current Hg-U:$<br>5.9 $(1.1-16.8)$ nmol/<br>mmol creatinine<br>$[12.6 (2.3-36) \mu g/l]$<br>mean Hg-U/year:<br>$9.0$ (4.0–19.6) nmol/<br>mmol creatinine<br>$[19 (8.5 - 42) \,\mu g/l]$ | thyroid function<br>reverse triiodothyronine $(rT_3)$ :<br>268 (161-422) pmol/l significantly<br>increased (controls: 232 (129–352)<br>pmol/l); after separation according<br>to level of exposure significant<br>difference in "high" exposure<br>group only, current exposure 10.0<br>$(5.8-16.8)$ nmol/mmol creatinine |  |  |
|                               | 47                                       | controls,<br>41 $(23-64)$ years<br>old  | $1.3$ (0.2–5.0) nmol/<br>mmol creatinine<br>$[2.8 (0.4–10.6) \,\mu g/l]$   | corresponding to 21.3 (12.3–<br>$36)$ µg/l und cumulative exposure<br>of 12.6 (10.2-19.6) nmol/mmol<br>creatinine and year, corresponding<br>to 26.7 (21.7-41.7) μg/l and year  |  |  |
| Zabinski<br>et al. 2000       | 46                                       | workers,<br>$39 \pm 10.4$ years<br>old, exposed for<br>$14.7 \pm 10.8$ years  | current Hg-U:<br>$77.4 \pm 48.2 \,\mu g/l$   | measurement of enzymes in<br>erythrocytes, haematological<br>parameters of peripheral blood<br>enzymes in erythrocytes: G6PD,   |  |  |
|                               | 35                                       | controls;<br>$33.6 \pm 9.8$ years<br>old  | not further specified  | glutathione reductase and SOD<br>decreased, AChE inceased;<br>haematological parameters:<br>haematocrit and number of<br>erythrocytes increased, MCV,<br>MCHC, ferritin, transferritin and<br>total Fe binding capacity decreased   |  |  |
| Queiroz<br>et al. 1998        | 16                                       | workers<br>(Hg production),<br>32 (18–48) years<br>old, exposed for<br>3.3 $(0.5-8)$ years  | $current Hg-U$ :<br>$18.5 \pm 8.8$<br>$(4.7-37.5) \mu g/g$<br>creatinine<br>$[22.2 \pm 10.6]$<br>$(5.6 - 45.0) \mu g/l$  | antioxidative enzymes (blood):<br>in Hg-exposed workers GSH in<br>erythrocytes significantly<br>decreased, catalase significantly<br>increased (compared with former<br>workers and also with controls); no   |  |  |
|                               | 11                                       | former workers<br>(with metal<br>intoxications), 46 $(5.0-19.0) \mu g/g$<br>$(42-61)$ years old, creatinine<br>exposed for 4-10<br>years; no longer<br>been exposed<br>during the<br>previous<br>$> 6$ months | $current Hg-U:$<br>$10 \pm 6.7$<br>$[12 \pm 8.0]$<br>$(6-22.8) \mu g/l$  | differences in SOD and G6PD   |  |  |
|                               | 11                                       | controls, (with<br>comparable age,<br>race)   | background exposure<br>$<$ 5 µg/g creatinine<br>$(< 6 \mu g/l)$  |   |  |  |
|                               |  |   |  |   |  |  |

**Table 4.** Other changes after occupational exposure to inorganic mercury

| Author                              |    | Number, collective,<br>exposure duration   | Hg concentration in<br>urine $(Hg-U)$  | Findings   |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| Queiroz<br>et al. 1999              | 15 | workers<br>(Hg production),<br>$39.5(27-53)$<br>years old, exposed creatinine<br>for $12(5-28)$<br>years   | micronucleus rate (blood cells)<br>$current Hg-U:$<br>$19.3 \pm 13.0$<br>significantly increased at about<br>$(7.5 - 48.9) \mu g/g$<br>$1-7\%$ (controls 0-1 %) but no<br>correlation between micronuclei<br>$[23.2 \pm 15.6]$<br>and age, exposure duration and<br>$(0.0 - 58.7) \mu g/l$<br>$Hg-U$ |  |  |  |
|                                     | 15 | blood samples<br>from blood bank<br>(from subjects of<br>comparable age<br>without Hg<br>exposure)   | background exposure<br>$<$ 5 µg/g creatinine<br>$(< 6 \mu g/l)$  |  |  |  |
| Shamy<br>et al. 1995                | 30 | workers<br>(chloralkali),<br>$35 \pm 0.3$ years<br>old; exposed for<br>$10.0 \pm 0.3$ years  | current Hg-U:<br>$215 \pm 36.1 \,\mu g/g$<br>creatinine<br>$(258 \pm 43.3 \,\mu g/l)$  | significantly increased rates of<br>micronuclei in blood cells<br>$(32.0 \pm 1.7/1000)$ ; controls<br>$14.0 \pm 2.4/1000$ , SCE $(7.3 \pm 0.2)$ ;<br>controls $4.6 \pm 0.6$ ) and <b>HPRT</b>                |  |  |
|                                     | 30 | controls,<br>$40 \pm 2.6$ years old creatinine   | $2.1 \pm 0.2 \,\mu g/g$<br>$(2.5 \pm 0.2 \,\mu g/l)$   | mutations $(0.94 \pm 0.01)$ ; controls<br>$0.83 \pm 0.07$ )  |  |  |
| Boffetta<br>et al. 2001 265 $\circ$ |    | $6784\textcirc$ , workers<br>(mercury mines<br>and mills in Italy,<br>Spain, Slovenia,<br>Ukraine; not<br>further specified)<br>control groups<br>(national<br>reference<br>mortality rates) | mercury mines<br>$\leq$ 1960: 1500 µg/l<br>$>$ 1985: 100-300 µg/l<br>mercury mills<br>$<$ 1960: 300 and<br>500-600 µg/l,<br>respectively<br>$\geq$ 1980: 100-150 µg/l<br>and 300 µg/l,<br>respectively   | mortality study<br>all cases<br>hypertension<br>renal diseases<br>mine workers<br>mill workers<br>with increasing employment<br>duration:<br>$1-9$ years<br>$10-19$ years<br>$20 - 29$ years<br>$>$ 30 years | <b>SMR (95 % CI)</b><br>$1.08(1.04-1.12)$<br>$1.46(1.08-1.93)$<br>$1.55(1.13-2.06)$<br>$1.71(1.16-2.43)$<br>$1.39(0.74 - 2.39)$<br>relative risk (RR) for renal diseases<br>RR (95 % CI)<br>1.0<br>$3.3(0.8-13.0)$<br>$4.7(0.9-24.7)$<br>$9.1(1.6-52.6)$ |  |

**Table 4.** (continued)

Abbreviations: AChE: acetyl cholinesterase; G6PD: glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase; GSH: glutathione; MCV: mean corpuscular volume; MCHC: mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration; SCE: sister chromatid exchange; HPRT: hypoxanthine-guanine-phosphoribosyl transferase; RR: relative risk; SMR: standardized mortality ratio; SOD: superoxide dismutase